1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	CONSTITUTION REVISION COMMISSION
11	P.M. SESSION
12	MARCH 20, 2018
13	Volume III
14	Pages 354 - 537
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Transcribed by:
20	CLARA C. ROTRUCK
21	Court Reporter
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

PROCEEDINGS TAPED

2

THE SECRETARY: Quorum call, quorum call.

3

All Commissioners indicate your presence.

4

Commissioners indicate your presence.

5

call, quorum call. All Commissioners indicate

6

your presence.

Commissioners.

can do that now.

7

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you,

8

We are going to take up

9

Proposal 29 introduced by Commissioner Newsome.

10

If you would like to make your introduction you

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Thank you, Mr. This is the e-verify proposal. an unlikely sponsor of this. This was the direct result of having sat through all of those hearings around the state when we went around which seems like forever ago, we kept hearing from these e-verify people.

I didn't know a thing about it, and then it was -- I am going to blame Commissioner Donalds, she knows that she is on the hook for this, but we were sitting there 15 minutes before the deadline at the airport and we were just talking about who sponsored what and I asked her, I said who sponsored e-verify, FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

because we had heard more about e-verify than anything else, right, 25 percent of all of the proposals were about e-verify. Every single hearing, person after person, and she said, I don't think anybody. I said, why don't you do it?

I said I got -- I already got five or six.

So I called Jeff Woodburn and solely to give these folks a voice, and because I believe in the proposal I filed it, and so here we are.

So as I said in the beginning of yesterday, for me there is three criteria for when something is in the Constitution: Number one, is there consensus. Not consensus among the lobbyists or necessarily the consensus among us, but is there a consensus among the people. Check. This thing polls at what you e-verify folks have said, and they have done a lot of research on this, 72 plus percent of the people are in favor of this.

Our Governor ran on it. He was able to do it just for the Executive Branch. The President of the United States ran on it. The people are for this, so check number one, consensus.

Number two, is there a reason like with the pork chop game that we need this in the Constitution, because the Legislature either can't or won't act. And the answer is yes. The answer is yes, as we saw during some of the hearings there were special interests after, no people by the way that I remember, but a lot of big money special interests.

Big ag, the big construction groups, they special-interested it up and we saw a lot of the big groups come in and speak against it.

And so that same kind of pressure is on the Florida Legislature. And so I would suggest that this meets the second criteria. It is something we need to go to the people with.

And then third, the third criteria, is it going to do a lot of good for a lot of people, and the answer is an unequivocal yes, and there is three different kinds of people that are affected by this.

The first is -- there is a friend of mine in Orlando, his had name is John Krause. John Krause is a commercial painter, he has got a couple hundred workers that work for him. And I asked him, I said hey, man, I have been FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

hearing about this e-verify thing, what do you think?

He is like, can you please do it? Why?

He said, well, my competitor, he goes, I

already do e-verify, it is cheap, it is easy,

you go online, you put the guy's name in, no

big deal; it is free. But he said my

competitors, the two biggest competitors are

painter guys every week out of the back of

their trunk with cash, and it is killing me.

So the second -- so the first group of people I am doing this for, the John Krause's, the folks who are -- especially the small business guys who are trying to follow the rules.

Second, are the legal workers. Jack Alder up here, who is the guy that has been pushing a lot of e-verify started his, raised his family as a middle class construction worker. He was a drywall worker, and it got to the point as he will tell you the reason he is here for this, is he couldn't compete. He couldn't compete because the folks who weren't legal, the folks that didn't have green cards, the people who weren't here on a work visa would undercut the FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

legal workers' wages and make it where folks like Jack Alder can't work.

2.

2.2

There was another group about 10 years ago that I went down to Immokalee. This is where they used to have big African-American communities down there who used work, they used to pick, they were growers, and there was a family who contacted me as a plaintiff lawyer to say, hey, is there anything we can do.

And we researched the heck out of it and they had no standing, but they wanted to do something because they had been undercut. This whole community of people had been supplanted by illegal labor where they just couldn't support their families. So the second group is the legal workers. I did this for them.

And then the third group, and finally, it is a little bit of a different spin, but the Naples Daily News did a story on this about the abuses that befall the undocumented worker, and that is near and dear to me, because I have represented undocumented workers in my practice.

One group I got a call, catastrophic injury, the guy was hurt in Orlando was at one FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

of the big hospital who will go unnamed, and they are victims, too, because they are in a tough spot and they have got an undocumented worker, they are not covered by comp, they are not covered by Medicaid. And here is the hospital facing this massive lien for a catastrophic worker, and all of a sudden they hired some bagmen, I am not making this up, to come in in the middle of the night out of intensive care, slap him on a gurney, whisk him away in an unmarked car on a private jet and flew him back to Guatemala.

2.2

That happened. Nothing we can do.

Nothing -- Google it, Google it, that kind of thing happens all the time. There is companies that make their living doing this.

There is another family that I represented, the O'Royal family, this was, gosh, 15 years ago, undocumented workers. They were staying in substandard housing as growers, they were seasonal. Dad comes home at the end of the day and there is all of these emergency people surrounding his house, which was a hovel that was below the code, the windows were painted shut, there was no smoke detectors, and FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

his three children and his wife died in a fire
because that shack where they were told to stay
didn't meet code; and as an undocumented worker

he has no recourse.

2.2

So many abuses that happened to these undocumented workers, just look it up, read the Naples Daily News article. They did a wonderful expose on this about how undocumented workers get dumped and reported by their own employers when they get hurt on the job and kicked to the curb.

And so for all three of these groups I agreed to do this because the public wants it and we needed to protect people like my friend John Krause, the legal painter who is trying to follow the rules.

We need to do it to protect people like

Jack Alder and his family or the family down in

Immokalee that I tried to help, but I couldn't.

They have been supplanted by illegal labor.

And finally we need to do this for the

undocumented workers, because anytime you have
a group of people or a corner of the economy

that is in the dark, it is not protected by the

law, and there is something wrong with that.

There is something wrong with that and so

I would like to hope someone would -- we

brought this through, this is a last minute

deal that Erica talked me into doing, and I

have probably lived to regret it from time to

time, but when we did it we just had to file it

with Jeff.

And so it was this big bulky document, and so through the committee process we agreed that we needed to shorten it, and Chair Nocco and I had a chance to work together and we came up with some different drafts and all it really needs is a couple of things. And so what we have done here is, this is the late-filed, because Chris and I worked it out very last minute, which is how these things go, but, and it is amendment bar code 262124, and it is very simple.

It is very simple. It says, you know, first and foremost why this is what we stand for and I would suggest Rules can tweak this a little bit. I would suggest Rules --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Do you want me to shorten it up?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: We need to, we need to 1 2 introduce your Proposal 29 and stay with that. 3 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Okay. 4 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: And then we stop. 5 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Fair enough. 6 here is, let me lay the predicate and then I 7 will stop. 8 Late-filed, it has got CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 9 out of order and it will complicate my life. 10 Make it simple. 11 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: So here is what I would like permission to do, Mr. Chairman. 12 13 make your life and mine easier, I would like to 14 then hopefully do whatever we need to do to 15 have this agreement, this very short version 16 taken up. 17 In the order that at CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 18 least much smarter people than me have told me 19 how to do it. 20 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Fair enough. 21 So with that, I hope everyone can support the 22 concept and that we can get this to Rules and 2.3 in a format that hopefully everyone agrees to. 24 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you. 25 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: What did I say,

Rules? 1 2 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: So we're at Proposal 29, and we will listen to questions on Proposal 29. 3 Commissioner Diaz is recognized. 4 5 COMMISSIONER DIAZ: I have one question, 6 and it both in the Bill and the amendments. 7 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Yes. 8 COMMISSIONER DIAZ: It mentions 9 unauthorized alien. Is that defined anywhere? 10 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: You know --11 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Newsome. 12 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: That was something 13 we struggled with and --14 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Newsome. 15 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Thank you, Mr. 16 Chairman. 17 You have to slow down. CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 18 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Come on. Come on. 19 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I got to rule. COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: 20 That is right, me 21 and you both. No, it is not, and actually I kind of like the word "undocumented worker." 2.2 2.3 This was something that came together very 24 quickly. But I think the operative language, 25 and this is where we really, I think, had to

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 more definitions. 15 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 16 29. 17 Commissioner Stemberger is recognized. 18 19 20 21 Bill in the Legislature? 22 23 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 24 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: 25

sort of put our stake in the ground, was focusing on federal immigration law, federal immigration law. Now we didn't want to have the word "e-verify" in this thing because we thought well, gosh, what if they change the program, and isn't that really something the Legislature can focus on.

But in federal immigration law which is e-verify or defines whether a worker is legal or not, that is what I would suggest we can defer to in the Constitution. And then, of course, have the Florida Legislature hopefully come back and define it more appropriately with

Questions on Proposal

COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Commissioner Newsome, do you know if there has been any attempt to file a

> I would --COMMISSIONER NEWSOME:

Commissioner Newsome.

I am sorry,

Mr. Chairman, indulge me. I am being a problem FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

child. I believe that it has, it has raised

its head, and I know that some of the

leadership have been for this, but I also know

there is been a lot of pressure, and I would

allow some of our members to speak more to

that.

2.2

But according to Mr. Oliver they have been trying to have this heard for 10 years. But this is an example of when you have got a very well-funded, very talented group of lobbyists, both contract and other on one side, and basically an unfunded purely grass roots group on the other.

And so, so this is the very essence of why this needs to be heard by the CRC.

COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Stemberger.

COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: So this is a question for whoever in debate appropriate. I would just like to know what the history is on attempts to have legislation filed and/or passed. I am not aware of a robust attempt to do this and that is a significant factor in the debates.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Further questions on FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

Proposal 29? 1 2 Commissioner Nocco, will you introduce your Amendment 364328, please? 3 4 COMMISSIONER NOCCO: Yes, Mr. Chair, that 5 one could we postpone until we hear --6 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Then we are going to go 7 to the amendment to that amendment, the 8 substitute 542932. Would you like to introduce 9 that? That is Commissioner Newsome's 10 amendment. 11 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: And I would 12 withdraw that as well. 13 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: You withdraw that one. 14 So -- so we are now -- we are going to a 15 special late-filed amendment, which I believe 16 is number 262124. 17 Would you introduce that amendment, Commissioner Newsome? 18 19 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Thank you, 20 Mr. Chairman. This is the one -- this is the 21 agreement that Commissioner Nocco and I worked This is skimmed down to the essence of 22 23 the concept of e-verify. 24 It doesn't say "e-verify," but basically 25 what it does, is it says three things:

talks about employment, eligibility

verification. I would suggest that when Style

and Drafting takes this up, one of the things

we talked about early was just re-branding this

about the right to a legal workplace or

something like that, but as it says here, the

policy statement is very clear.

2.2

It says "unauthorized alien." We could say "undocumented worker," but may not work in state contrary to federal immigration law, and that is the essence of it, federal immigration law.

You want to have documented workers working for employers. And then secondly here on the back the Legislature by 2020 needs to basically take action to establish how this is going to work.

They could use the language that we had that was originally part of this whole giant proposal, they could do something differently, but the concept is they need to create a procedure whereby employers are required to check with federal immigration laws to make sure a worker is legal here.

Number one, so that they are protected by FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

the law and the playing field is fair. And then second, that the -- they will -- that the Executive Branch in Section 2 is tasked with developing a random auditing program for enforcement. So the Executive Branch enforces, the Legislature decides the specifics of how this is going to work, as they should, but that it is tied to federal immigration law; and those are the three points, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Questions on late-filed Amendment 262124?

Commissioner Solari is recognized.

COMMISSIONER SOLARI: As this came late and there is little time to sort of think this out, but if -- does this apply from the date, some date going forward, or is it retroactive? In other words, and I was thinking of the County, the 800 employees of the County.

Does that mean we have to go back and see if all of them are legal, or will it start at a date, say at the beginning of '19 and every new hire?

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Well, I think that the --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Newsome.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Mr. Chair. Sorry.

I think, Commissioner Solari, that the intention is to give everybody plenty of time, to level legislation to consider this, to craft this. We certainly don't want our employers to get caught in a bind or caught unaware, and I think the concept is that hopefully the Legislature will do this by July 1st of 2020, and after that point in time that is when the law or the full force and effect of this would go into -- into effect moving forward.

COMMISSIONER SOLARI: And, and again not having enough time --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Solari.

COMMISSIONER SOLARI: My apologies, Mr.

rman. Does -- I understand what your

intent is then going forward, but is that what the paper says, and I don't know, I am just --

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Well --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Newsome.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: I think that is the intent of this and to the extent we need to tighten that up a little bit in Style and Drafting, then Commissioner Heuchan is further tasked with that. But that is the intention.

I can tell you that.

COMMISSIONER SOLARI: Thank you,

Commissioner. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you. Further questions on the amendment? No, we still have debate. I am learning. Debate on 262124.

Commissioner Nocco is recognized.

COMMISSIONER NOCCO: Thank you, Chair.

The reason for this amendment is because I appreciate Commissioner Newsome going to committee and there were people from the e-verify groups that were speaking and from different groups that have come up for it or against it, and there was one thing that kept coming up was the unintended consequences.

You know, everybody was afraid that we were going to enact something, it was going to be in the Constitution and there was no way to go back and fix it. So that is why we worked on this amendment and we have been going back and forth. So I appreciate us working together on this because that is the one thing, this is a complicated issue.

There are people that, you know, and there FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

are people that are fundamentally for it and fundamentally against it, and the idea is to put it on the ballot. If it passes out then it 4 goes back to the Legislature. The Legislature will enact, the Legislature will go through -and if you look, and I appreciate this, because I know Commissioner Sprowls is saying he was looking up how people did in other states' constitutional amendments. Last night I was 10 looking up how other states did e-verify. And 11 so with the verification system you saw the 12 majority of states actually did it in the 13 Legislature.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They enacted it through the Legislature, because they knew there is going to be multiple sides of this to get this through to make sure that when it is enforced and it is enacted it actually has some meaning to it.

So that is why this proposal, you will see and I know it went through today and everybody just got their pink slip that they are fired, but they got to stick around and read this amendment, but one, if this, if this passes it goes on the ballot.

If the citizens vote for it and it passes FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

through, one, it goes through the Legislature. 1 2 Time certain, July 1st, 2020, they would have to enact something. So it gives them a couple 3 4 of years to come up with a plan of how they 5 want to do it. 6 It establishes a verification system. Ιt 7 doesn't name the verification, it says a 8 verification system, and it enacts a statewide 9 auditing program with it. The Legislature will 10 enact a statewide auditing program. 11 basically this will allow our citizens to vote 12 If they approve it, it goes to the 13 Legislature. 14 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Newsome is 15 recognized. 16 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Thank you, 17 Mr. Chairman. 18 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: On debate. 19 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Commissioner 20 Carlton. 21 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Newsome, 22 you understand you will get a chance to close. 2.3 COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Yes, sir, I 24 understand that, but I am told that 25 procedurally I need to ask --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: He is the expert.

_

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Yes, sir, and I am advised that I need to ask you for permission to file this late and for a two-thirds vote that we can take this up as a late-filed amendment.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner, help me here. So we shouldn't have gotten into --

COMMISSIONER CARLTON: Well, just to keep it according to the rules, it just -- he is just asking permission to introduce the amendment by the required two-thirds by the rules. So we should have technically probably have done that prior to going into questions and debate so that we keep in proper form.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Okay, so at this point, do we want to just call the question?

COMMISSIONER CARLTON: Yes. Yes, I don't think anybody has a problem with it.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: So we need a two-thirds majority to even discuss what we have been discussing for 10 minutes, and that is my ignorance. So we will try to do that by a voice vote, and I am going to try to figure out how I hear two-thirds versus one-third, okay.

So all those in favor of the amendment, late-filed amendment, signify by saying -- being introduced for debate and questions, signify by saying yea.

(Chorus of yea's.)

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: All those opposed signify by saying nay. Okay, so I am glad that we did that, because it is not all of wasted time. With that said, who else would like to speak on debate on Amendment 262124?

Commissioner Keiser is recognized on debate.

COMMISSIONER KEISER: Mr. Chairman, thank you. I appreciate the amendment, I appreciate the intent, and perhaps this is more of a question. But how do we go about making a decision on this when we really don't know the fiscal impact?

I know through the committee process we had an opportunity to discuss looking forward if we put something in the Constitution, what sort of financial obligation do we pass on to the State?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Debate, Commissioner
Nocco or someone with a debate answer?

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

COMMISSIONER KEISER: So do you share that concern, and because that is something from my perspective.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Keiser,

Commissioner Nocco is recognized at the moment.

COMMISSIONER KEISER: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Nocco.

COMMISSIONER NOCCO: And that is why I worked with Commissioner Newsome on this because of the unintended consequences. So in a legislative standpoint, the Legislature would be the one enacting it; the Legislature would be the ones who funds it, so they would be the ones responsible for how it is implemented.

So that is why this -- this is as it is written right now, the only cost I could see is being on a ballot, that that is all the Supervision of Elections has to do. If it passes it goes through the Legislature, and then the Legislature would enact it the way they feel best, that a verification system would be best done and they are the ones -- who are the ones that are spending the money on it.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Keiser, you are recognized.

COMMISSIONER KEISER: And thank you for that clarification. But once it is in the Constitution and if the citizens approve it, then it is mandatory that it makes the Legislature much more accountable. And to your point the funding would continue. So I am just looking through the process down the road.

And again, understand very good intent but looking at as we are challenged by many different issues, whether it is healthcare, whether it is the environment, whether it is our safety, against the merits of the proposal, but looking at potentially, once it is in the Constitution it codifies it, memorializes it, then the Legislature will be forced to act and funding will follow. And we still don't really know what that potentially could be, is that correct?

But Commissioner Newsome, you can go take a stab at that.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Well, the good news is that this has been done in a whole bunch of other states, and I don't know the specific FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

numbers, but for those of you who were there, this has been a resounding success in the other states where it has been done, and I would suggest that without drilling down too much into this, that it could potentially end up being a net positive for the State. Because we are talking about dragging some folks right now who are undocumented into the sunshine.

So that potentially, for example, my one client who is at ORMC, he is at ORMC getting stuck with potentially millions of bills -- millions of dollars of bills.

That is just one example. So if that worker is now legal and he has workers' compensation, all of a sudden I would suggest and there may be some slight costs for the enforcement, the random audits, but the net positive to the State in terms of the drain on resources for healthcare, education, not to mention the economy, itself, for the legal workers, like my buddy, John Kraul, is going to be hugely net in the positive, if that makes sense.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: The Chair will make a motion, entertain a motion.

Commissioner Cerio for one moment, please. 1 2 COMMISSIONER CERIO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I move the rules be waived and the Commission 3 4 extend its meeting until 1:00 o'clock this 5 morning. 6 I just want to see who was paying 7 Mr. Chair, I move that the rules be attention. 8 waived and the Commission extend the meeting 9 until 7:00 tonight. 10 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Okay, I like the 1:00 11 the morning. All those in favor of the motion 12 to waive the rules, say yea. 13 (Chorus of yea's.) 14 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: All those against, say nay. Motion carries. 15 16 Commissioner Keiser is recognized. 17 COMMISSIONER KEISER: Well, thank you very 18 much for bringing this forward, and thank you 19 for the opportunity to explore this further. 20 Thank you, Mr. Chair, and sponsors. 21 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Solari is 2.2 recognized on debate. 23 COMMISSIONER SOLARI: I just want to sort 24 of talk about the fiscal impact, because 25 Commissioner Newsome was talking about that,

more the fiscal impact to the private sector, which is something I asked before. But my understanding is it is actually the fiscal impact to the State.

That said, listening to everybody here talk about numbers, I think we are basically all math challenged, so I think we ought to probably just pass by the fiscal impact of most any proposal for now.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Further debate on the late-filed amendment. Would you like to close on your late-filed amendment?

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I just want to thank all of the

e-verify volunteers from around the state who

at their own nickel came and drove a long way a

lot of times for their leadership. Like I

said, I am just a messenger here.

This was truly a grass roots organization of people who really cared and gave it their time to be a part of this process, and so I am grateful for that.

I am also grateful for the Commission in allowing this to move forward and to hear the people, but this is truly something that I FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

think meets all of the standards for what should be in the Constitution, and I think it is going to do a lot of good for a whole heck of a lot of people. So with that I hope you can vote for this in the affirmative and that it can move forward in the process.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: So by voice vote we are going to vote on amendment, late-filed

Amendment 262124. All those in favor signify by saying yea.

(Chorus of yea's.)

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Those against signify by saying nay. The amendment is adopted. Now we will go to debate on Proposal 29 as amended.

Debate.

Commissioner Stemberger is recognized.

COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I wanted to thank Commissioner

Newsome. This is a major problem and it does need to be addressed, and I am so impressed with this citizen here, who I saw his face humbly and respectfully sit at every single hearing. I have heard his story.

His business was completely destroyed, his FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

livelihood, his savings. And so I get this issue, and he is just really a physical representation of this story repeating itself over and over and over again. And I was in the same hallways were you where they pulling me aside and I actually considered filing this amendment, but what I could not get over is I do not believe that it is appropriate in the Constitution.

2.3

It is not a fundamental right. It does not deal with the structure of government. It is not relating to an existing provision in the Constitution, and while you have criteria that I think are good for public policy, I don't think -- I can't get to the policy piece because I can't get over the constitutionality piece.

I am reminded by Sandy D'Alemberte, Martha Barnett and some of the other giants that have been in this process before us, that even if this thing does not make it, this debate is being heard by the world, by the media, by the Legislature.

We have a future Speaker of the House,
Lord Willing in here. So I think things can
FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

come out of this process if they don't come out of this Commission. So I rise in opposition sadly, just out of mere principle that this simply does not belong in the Constitution.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I didn't want to interrupt Commissioner Stemberger, but I wanted to clarify, Commissioner Nocco, your proposal amendment is completely withdrawn, correct?

COMMISSIONER NOCCO: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Very good. I just wanted to make sure for the record. Further debate on Proposal 29? We will close Proposal 29, and Mr. Newsome, if you would close to close on your proposal.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. Commissioner Stemberger, I would
like to respond to that, because that is a
perfect place to close this debate.

The notion of the right to work in a fair, safe environment, the notion that everyone should have to follow the rules, not just some. The notion that if I break the rules I am going to be outside of that sunshine.

That is, that is, that is the core of the fundamental issues of law, the right to work, the right to a fair workplace is, I would submit, fundamental as a right that all Floridians want and deserve.

And so I would suggest that maybe you reconsider, certainly to the extent that it is a more fundamental right than politicians not naming a building after themselves. If we weigh what is more a fundamental right, I would suggest that this right of a fair and legal workplace and the right to compete fairly and to not be disadvantaged because the law and the system allows it, that is pretty fundamental.

And I think most Floridians, certainly the vast majority would agree with that. So reconsider that as a concept and I would encourage that the Style and Drafting think about characterizing this amendment as a fundamental right to work, and a fair and legal workplace.

Thank you all, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you.

Mr. Secretary, will you open the board for a vote? Commissioners vote, please. All

Commissioners vote, please. Please tally the 1 2 vote. 3 THE SECRETARY: Nineteen yea's, 13 nay's, 4 Mr. Chairman. 5 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: The motion carries as 6 amended, and it is committed to Style and 7 Drafting. 8 Commissioner Stemberger is recognized. 9 COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Thank you, Mr. 10 Chairman. I would like to move pursuant to 11 Rule 4.5 relating to removal of proposals for 12 committee of reference, to remove Proposal 22, 13 the Florida Privacy Restoration Act From the 14 Judicial Committee where it was reported 15 unfavorably and have it placed on the Special 16 Order Calendar. 17 So my understanding is CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 18 that is a simple majority vote to bring 19 Proposal 22 back up. So do we do that by voice 20 I am sorry? Debate on the motion. 21 COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Thank you, Mr. 22 Chairman. 2.3 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Debate on the motion. 24 Please open. 25 COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Commissioners,

if I could ask for your indulgence in considering this extraordinary request. I will -- I will limit my comments to why I think we should do this, not to the substance of the matter.

In the first set of hearings I went to all of them, and this issue, the privacy issue was the greyhound of the first hearing. We heard more about privacy from both sides, but specifically from people that wanted to fix the privacy right than any other issue. And I believe that is an accurate portrayal, that is not just saying that.

We heard from black Americans. We heard from white people, we heard from young and old, we heard from rich and poor people. We heard from fathers and mother, Catholics and Protestants. We heard people who spoke Spanish in Miami that needed interpreters on privacy. We heard people in North Florida speak with a southern accent.

We heard from children and families that put their kids in private schools, public schools, charter schools and home schools, and we heard from a very diverse group of people.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

In response to that a former Justice Ken
Bell, filed a public proposal relating to
privacy which I picked up and became Proposal
22. It did pass the Declaration of Rights
Committee, it did go to Judiciary, where it was
not reported favorably.

I would add that there were two members of Judiciary that were not present during the time of that vote. From the first hearing in Orlando to the last hearing in St. Petersburg, I personally have been attacked, I have been misrepresented. I have been charged with various things regarding my motive.

And even though I had a live microphone in front of me all the time, I said absolutely nothing. And my -- I have four kids, ages eight to 17, and my son asked me, Dad, what do you do, I fully engage my kids in everything we do, okay.

They are fully aware of everything in the world. I don't try to shield them. We try to guide them into the world and let them be fully aware. My son asked me, why are you letting people attack you? Why don't you defend yourself? And I told him what my father told FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

me when I was a little boy. He said, son, you need to know your place.

There is a time and a place for everything. And so that was not the place to speak up, not the place to defend myself. Many people were speaking out of great pain and great remorse and great anger frankly. And so it was difficult, some of it was extremely difficult for me, but what was more important than the folks that were attacking me and using my name over and over again, was the misrepresentation of what this issue is about.

And that is why I am asking you to give

me -- allow me the ability to articulate before

this body, before the media and the Supreme

Court what the privacy issue is, and what it is

about and what the proposal is.

I am asking you to give me that chance because as far as I -- there was one speaker in Ft. Lauderdale who accurately understood this issue in opposition, but accurately understood what it was we were talking about, and she was very articulate. I wanted to shake her hand, but I couldn't, almost to a person, every other person that spoke on 22 completely

misrepresented what it was about, what the federal law was, what the state law was, and in doing so personally charged me.

I am simply asking this body as a courtesy, I get the politics, I can read votes, it may or may not be successful, but I am asking for my 10 minutes to explain to this body what this proposal is about and let the chips fall where they may.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Debate on the motion?

Commissioner Solari is recognized.

COMMISSIONER SOLARI: Thank you,

Mr. Chair. This group has spoken about a lot
of things and passed a lot of things forward,
which I certainly, based on my voting, disagree
with. But I don't think we have spoken about
one thing which I don't think was appropriate
to speak at this floor, and I for one am
grateful for every conversation we have, and
because of that and because of different things
I have heard about the Proposition 22 vote at
the public hearings before and since, I for one
am very supportive of just having the further
conversation.

The fact that I am supportive of the 1 2 conversation doesn't mean I support a vote to 3 move it forward, but I do believe the dialogue 4 on this would be beneficial to everybody. 5 Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Martinez on 7 debate of the motion. 8 COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: On whether or not 9 to allow the Commissioner to bring up this item 10 for discussion? 11 I am sorry? CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 12 COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: Whether or not to 13 allow him to --CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 14 Whether or not to allow. 15 COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: The Commissioner, 16 right, okay, so --17 To bring it to this body CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 18 tomorrow. 19 COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: Right, so I am 20 going to put my opinions publicly and it is 21 going to obviously upset some of my friends who 22 think I am going to say something else. 2.3 think he should have that right. I am going to 24 vote against it ultimately. 25 I feel very strongly that we shouldn't

amend the Constitution with P-22, nor put it out to the voters for their decision, because I think the Constitution is very clear, the people should have a right to privacy. But I am going to allow Mr. Stemberger, Commissioner Stemberger, to have that opportunity.

So I am going to vote in favor of that, and I will probably be this person speaking against it once it gets to full debate. But you have that right and I have resented it every time that you have been attacked personally, because you are a good person. You have been very honorable and you have been a great member.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Further debate on the motion?

Commissioner Joyner, you are recognized.

COMMISSIONER JOYNER: Thank you,

Mr. Chair. This amendment was given a full

hearing and it was voted up in one committee

and down in the other. And if the two persons

who had not been there had been there, it still

would have died on a tie. We have heard from

thousands of people in this state on this issue

at every hearing, and many people came and

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

spoke. We had speakers at each committee on this issue.

We had hundreds of people to come to say you defeated it in committee and, in fact, at one of the hearings some member of the Commission alluded to the fact that that is not before us because it was voted down, and the people who had read the rules said, but you have the ability to bring it back and we want to make it clear to you not to bring it back, follow the process that you have.

It died in committee and that should be the end of it. And so consequently I can't support this motion to bring it back now. I think that if the Commissioner wants to be heard on it, then we can listen to it, but not outside of the realm of bringing it back before us.

It had its day twice and it failed, and it goes back to -- well, I will let Senator Smith if he so desires, to speak for himself, but we are right back where we were with him attempting to bring back a proposal that had a lot of exposure from a lot of people over the state of Florida.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

2.2

And the Commissioner has never been denied the right to speak on his proposal during the process that this body has put in place; and I hope that you would join me in opposing this motion.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Smith, would you like to be recognized?

COMMISSIONER SMITH: Just watching.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Okay. I want to make sure.

Commissioner Carlton is recognized.

COMMISSIONER CARLTON: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. On the motion to remove the proposal from committee, you know, I think that we are, again, we are not having a substantive discussion on the underlying merits of the proposal. The underlying -- the proposal went through a committee, it was two committees.

It was defeated in committee. It received a full vetting, and although the rules do allow a motion to pull the Bill out of committee, I am going to be consistent.

I am going to vote no every time that motion is on this floor, because I don't think -- I don't like that rule, but it is one of our FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

rules, so you are properly, you know, calling for the motion. But I think that it is a little difficult for us to stay focused on what we are doing, complete the task at hand if we keep pulling things out of committee or attempting to pull things out of committee that were fully vetted and died in committee.

2.

2.2

2.3

And so I would encourage you to be consistent with what happened earlier, which was the motion to remove Senator Smith's Bill from committee was defeated.

I would encourage you to be consistent with that and that we can move forward debating the proposals that are properly and fully before us.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Stewart is recognized.

COMMISSIONER STEWART: Thank you, Chair.

I agree with my good friend, Commissioner

Martinez, that I was offended personally every
time Commissioner Stemberger was attacked.

That it was an inappropriate way to approach
this process, and was very much against all of
those folks that came forward speaking so
negatively about him.

I agree with Commissioner -- I am drawing a blank, Carlton, sorry, that we established already today that we are not pulling proposals from committee, and I think it is important that we remain consistent about that and see no other reason to do that, just as we decided not to do that earlier today on Commissioner Smith's proposal. So I would be opposed to pulling this out of committee.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Debate on the motion? Proceed.

COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman, a parliamentary inquiry. Mr.

Chairman, and Mr. Rules Chair, if Commissioner

Stemberger is successful in pulling this matter

out of committee, and if it is before us, in

order for it to pass, is it viewed then as a

late-filed and requires two-thirds, or would it

pass if it passed on a majority vote?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Cerio, do you want to address the question?

COMMISSIONER CERIO: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Commissioner Gaetz, if the proposal is brought

back it would be heard at the earliest

opportunity tomorrow. And if it is brought

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

2.2

back it is a proposal under our own rules brought back by a majority vote and would have to pass by majority vote.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Mr. Cerio.

Further debate on the motion to bring back

Proposal 22? So we are going to call the

question on a voice vote. We want to do a

quorum. Commissioner Stemberger will have the

opportunity to close. Please proceed to close.

COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. This is not something that I

just thought of yesterday or today because of

some proposal that just came up. This is

something that I have thought about and

envisioned the moment that I was appointed as I

thought through the process. I believe there

is an extraordinary circumstance. I thank you

for the consideration, thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Very good. Those in favor of bringing Proposal 22 forward for further hearing tomorrow, please signify by saying yea?

(Chorus of yea's.)

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Those against advancing it, signify by saying nay.

(Chorus of nay's.)

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: The nay's have it.

Thank you. We are now going to Proposal -excuse me, Proposal -- Commissioner Lee has a
comment or -- you need three hands to make a,
one -- two, three, open up the board.

Please vote. Commissioners, please vote.

Please tally, close the board and tally the vote.

THE SECRETARY: Eighteen yea's, 14 nay's, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: The vote is corrected and we will hear the motion. We will hear 22 tomorrow at the end of the special order calendar.

Proposal 9, which was temporarily postponed earlier. Commissioner Timmann, if you would like to open, that would be great.

COMMISSIONER TIMMANN: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. Okay, let's talk about veterans.

My proposal would amend Section 11, Article IV

of the Constitution to require rather than

simply authorize as it is now, the Legislature

to provide for the Department of Veterans

Affairs and prescribe its duties by general law

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

and specifies that the head of the department is the Governor and Cabinet.

2.2

The idea for my proposal actually originated from a public proposal that recommended that we totally remove that provision from the Constitution because there was a Department of Veteran's Affairs, so why did it matter?

And that caught my immediate attention and frankly my great concern. I did have the honor when I worked for the Governor's Office of being a Deputy Chief of Staff working closely with Veteran's Affairs, and it was one of the most rewarding experience of my career.

Since then, and I reviewed it more and reviewed the Constitution and the original provision, and this provision was brought before the Executive Committee and did pass unanimously. There I was surprised that I had five Purple Heart recipients sitting behind me when I presented at the committee.

I had intended to wait until tomorrow to do this proposal when I found that they, the veterans were actually celebrating, as I mentioned earlier today, the opening of a home FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

in St. Lucie County, which is close to my area on the Treasure Coast to serve those individuals and veterans there for long-term care needs.

Just to give you some background, in 1988

Floridians did approve the creation of Section

11 of Article IV. It was a

legislatively-referred Constitutional Amendment
that gave the Legislature the authority to

establish the department.

Prior to that, veteran services were divided among a number of different agencies. So veterans didn't really have one stop, one place they could go for help. They had to hop around based on what a particular need might be and try to determine what agency might be able to provide the services that they deserved.

Section 11 currently reads, "The

Legislature by general law may provide for the

establishment of Veteran's Affairs," and they

did so through Section -- Chapter 20 of the

Florida Statutes.

This was a very popular amendment as you can imagine, and it sent a proud message to our veterans that we did support them, and let FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

veterans know nationwide that Florida would welcome them, but it wasn't strong enough.

And in talking to veterans they felt that way but they were so excited that they had one place in the Constitution. We had military operations heating up in the Persian Gulf in 1988 when this passed, but it was before Operation Desert Shield and Desert Storm, the Gulf War, and before the operations Iraqi Freedom and Enduring Freedom, the global war on terrorism.

We never could have contemplated the returning veterans that we have now and their very special needs. They come home with traumatic injuries and long-term needs that the voters just could not have contemplated, and I remember being one of those voters and I imagine many of you here were as well.

Now 1.5 million veterans call Florida home. That is 12 percent of our population. In recognition of these unique needs, the mission of the Department of Veterans Affairs is to advocate with purpose and passion for Florida veterans and link them to superior services, benefits and support.

For some that may mean protecting the direct infusion of \$17 billion annually into Florida's economy. It may mean supporting the homes, as I mentioned before, that provide long-term care and services; or it may simply mean showing our gratitude for their service and dedication.

That brings us back to my current provision, which essentially says that the Legislature may -- I am sorry, the proposal before said they may provide for the establishment. This finishes that intent.

Now we have the chance to ask Floridians if they are ready to not only renew but to strengthen their promise to the men and women of all generations who put their lives at risk to serve our nation and our state.

So my simple but significant proposal is as follows: "The Department of Veterans

Affairs, the Legislature, by general law, shall provide for a Department of Veterans Affairs and prescribe its duties."

The head of the department is the Governor and the Cabinet. This proposal ensures that there is no conflict with governing statutes

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

currently. It is also consistent with similar provisions in our Constitution. It also mirrors the constructure of the agency. It appropriately retains the Legislature's role to establish policies to meet the changing needs of our veterans.

2.2

It also ensures the Department is a Cabinet agency, and for Style and Drafting it also grammatically fixes the missing apostrophe in the word veteran's in the Constitution to clarify that this belongs to all veterans. The most important change is that the word "now" or "may" is replaced by "shall."

That, Mr. Chairman, is the summary of my proposal. I would be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner.

There are no amendments. So we will take
questions on the Proposal P-9. Questions? Are
there any questions on P-9?

We will close questions and go straight into debate on P-9. Debate on P-9? Debate on P-9? Seeing -- Commissioner Schifino.

COMMISSIONER SCHIFINO: I want to applaud us. We love to make statements, and that is FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

what we are doing. We are making a -- and I will just suggest to you that I think this is the tenth statement we are making. And I know we are asking a lot of my good friend to my right, Commissioner Heuchan, but this is going to be some ballot that we are going to be asking Floridians to look at and hope, hope that they start making their way down from four to five to six to seven to eight to nine to ten. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Further debate on P-9?
Seeing none, Commissioner Timmann, would you
like to close on P-9?

COMMISSIONER TIMMANN: Briefly I would. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TIMMANN: Yes, I agree that this proposal will take up space on the ballot, very small space, but I have to tell you, the veterans fought really hard for that space, and I am just here to try to ensure that that space is permanent.

I know that our great state will support our veterans and encourage veterans nationwide to come here. But let's just make sure that FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

they know that is a permanent promise to them. 1 2 So I ask for your support to move this important message forward, whether it is the 3 4 ninth or the tenth, I just believe it is 5 significant and I would appreciate your 6 support. Thank you. 7 Secretary, please open CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 8 the board for a vote. Commissioners, vote. 9 Commissioners, please vote. Everybody has 10 voted. Please close the board and announce the 11 tally. 12 Twenty-nine yea's, four THE SECRETARY: 13 nay's, Mr. Chairman. 14 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Proposal -- I got, first 15 of all, this proposal is adopted and committed 16 to Style and Drafting Committee. Commissioner Smith. 17 18 COMMISSIONER SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 19 I have a motion. 20 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: On this? 21 COMMISSIONER SMITH: No. 22 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Okay, so we are done 23 with this one? 24 COMMISSIONER SMITH: Yes. 25 Thank you. What is the CHAIRMAN BERUFF: FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

motion -- for what motion? 1 2 COMMISSIONER SMITH: Motion to reconsider. 3 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Reconsider. 4 COMMISSIONER SMITH: The vote by which the 5 motion to remove 61 from Committee failed. I 6 was on the prevailing side, I would like to 7 reconsider. 8 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: We are going to take a 9 pause while I learn. 10 (Brief pause.) 11 COMMISSIONER SMITH: I was on the 12 prevailing side, I voted against my motion, 13 that put me on the prevailing side. 14 moving to reconsider. A VOICE: And that was on the main motion? 15 16 COMMISSIONER SMITH: It was on the motion 17 to remove 61 from Committee. 18 A VOICE: The motion, you voted against 19 it. 20 COMMISSIONER SMITH: To reconsider it, 21 yes. You voted it down, you voted down the 22 motion to reconsider, removed it. Now I am 23 moving to reconsider that vote so we can 24 re-vote. 25 A VOICE: And you were on the prevailing

side? 1 2 COMMISSIONER SMITH: Yes, I voted no. 3 A VOICE: I --4 COMMISSIONER SMITH: It was a, it was a 5 voice vote. 6 A VOICE: I --7 It was a voice vote. A VOICE: 8 It was a voice vote, COMMISSIONER SMITH: 9 yes. 10 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Smith, 11 would you please explain your motion for the 12 members of the Commission? 13 COMMISSIONER SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 14 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER SMITH: When I voted -- when 16 we voted, I did the motion to remove 61 from 17 Committee just like we did 22. There was a 18 vote to remove it from Committee and it was a 19 voice vote and it was ruled by the Chair that 20 it was in the negative. 21 Because it was a voice vote any member can 22 move to reconsider. So my motion is to reconsider that vote so we can vote on it 23 24 again. 25 The floor is open for CHAIRMAN BERUFF:

debate on Mr. Smith's motion to reconsider
bringing forth Proposal 61.

2.2

Commissioner Carlton is recognized.

COMMISSIONER CARLTON: To the point, I would support the motion to reconsider by Commissioner Smith. I think it is only fair that if we are going to pull, begin pulling bills out of Committee, I think it is only fair. So I would encourage you to vote for the motion to reconsider.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Keiser is recognized.

COMMISSIONER KEISER: I would also support
Commissioner Smith's motion to reconsider. In
fact, I was in that Committee when it was a tie
vote, and I do think that the rules do provide
this process where we can reconsider something
if enough, by two-thirds vote, we would like
to. So I speak in support. Majority -- excuse
me, thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Donalds is recognized.

COMMISSIONER DONALDS: Thank you. I have a procedural question. We would be voting to reconsider and then we would vote again on FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

whether to bring back the item, is that correct? Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Solari is recognized.

COMMISSIONER SOLARI: I think I have to support this motion just so I can have another debate about home rule with Commissioner Lee.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Further debate on the motion to reconsider? So we will call the question by a voice vote initially anyway. All those in favor of reconsidering the motion to bring back Proposal 61 signify by saying yea.

(Chorus of yea's.)

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: All those against, signify by saying nay. The yea's have it. We will now reconsider the motion to bring back 61.

Debate, excuse me, we can debate the motion to bring back 61. Who would like to debate on that motion? Commissioner Joyner.

COMMISSIONER JOYNER: Thank you,

Mr. Chair. It is obvious that this chamber is
interested in second chances, because one was
given recently and one had been denied, and in
the interest of fairness and to show the people
FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

that proposals can come out and be reconsidered, they can come out of Committee pursuant to the rules, the proposal by the Senator, by Senator, Senator Commissioner Smith is worthy of our giving a second chance, and I would ask each of you to vote to support that and let it come to the floor.

So as, as Commissioner Martinez said on the prior motion, let it be debated fully here and let us decide whether it is worthy of going forward and being sent to Style and Drafting.

It just appears to me that it is a fair and equitable thing to do.

Now since contrary to what, and contravention to Senator Carlton's position that she took initially that we didn't need to start this because it would open the door. The door has been opened, and it is only fair that we continue to allow those that we feel have merit, and this one does, home rule is very important, that we fully vet it as a body.

Does this body want to deal with home rule in accordance with the proposal that Senator Smith has explained many times, and I am eager for the debate on that issue, and I ask you to FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

join me in supporting this motion.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Heuchan is recognized.

COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I voted no on Commissioner Stemberger's motion to reconsider, despite my feelings for Commissioner Stemberger, despite my feelings for the issue that is found in that proposal. The reason I voted no was for this precise reason.

When we, when Commissioner Schifino came up with the now infamous Schifino Callback Rule, you guys will recall that that was contained in a strike-all amendment that was offered by me.

They are not my rules, they are our rules That rule was described on that day and I represented to you that the reason that that rule was there is to not allow a small group of people to dictate to the larger group of people the will of this body and this chamber and this Commission.

That is the reason that that rule was It was not contemplated, though I fully support what Commissioner Martinez said about FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

2.2

2.3

the dutiful attention that Commissioner

Stemberger, and I am going to get to

Commissioner Smith in a second, I got a lot of

nice things to say about him, too. But look,

folks, I am for people having their day in

court. I am for people having their five or

ten minutes.

Did I want to give Commissioner Stemberger that? Of course I did, for all the reasons that were said. Look, I have been personally attacked as well, many times, in those same Commission meetings.

I was attacked even administratively and I kept my mouth shut, too, for all of the good reasons that Commissioner Stemberger's dad told him to do so. So I am going to vote no on Commissioner Smith's motion, just like I voted no on the other one for extremely consistent reasons.

I would -- I wish we wouldn't have done that, because we could go down the list.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Schifino.

COMMISSIONER SCHIFINO: Thank you, Chair Beruff. I, too, voted no on Commissioner Stemberger's motion. I had voted no on FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

Commissioner Smith's motion. Our Callback provision and Commissioner Heuchan described it accurately, it is there for a good reason, and if there is a proposal that wasn't treated fairly, didn't get its opportunity to be heard and was something that the majority of us felt, not just needed a little air time, but really was the wrong decision, I would be all behind this.

You know, it was there for a reason. We were really working hard to get our rules done, and you can look back six or eight months, 12 months, however long it was, but that rule was put in place as an accommodation to try to get us to the point we are today, and if you recall, that did put an end, not that particular call-back, but that day we have done really good work.

I will again vote no. I think it is bad policy here, unless Commissioner Smith articulates in my mind a reason that we are bringing this back. And I am not sure, I don't recall what it was before, what he said, but if there is a good -- it didn't get a fair hearing. if there was anything like that, then FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

2.

I am certainly open to hearing whatever else.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee is recognized.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I empathize with Commissioner Smith's situation. I do, I think there are a probably a number of us that do, and that the reasons why these call-back, this provision that we are now referring to as call-back provision is important is because as these Bills go through committee or these proposals go through committee, you know, sometimes a very small subset of the members of this Commission can keep a proposal from getting to this whole body for consideration.

And that is why you do these things. And I am not suggesting that that has happened in this case, but I know it has happened and I know it has been difficult at times to know who was going to be in attendance at a meeting.

Maybe barely having a quorum. It depends on who is in the room sometimes, how proposals go; so I think this provision is important to have in the rules.

The only thing I would point out is that FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

this is a little bit of a different situation,
Commissioner Smith, because as you and I both
know, we had competing proposals that ended up
in the same place, and that is stuck in
committee that did precisely the opposite
thing. And I am not going to impose upon the
body a vote to pull my proposal out of
committee, because I frankly don't believe I
have a solution that would result in a
compromise that we could get our heads around
here in the time that we have available to us.

And so while this is certainly available to us and I appreciate the Senator's, the Senator and Commissioner's interest in reviving this proposal, I probably can't support it just because I know that it -- it -- it puts us in an awkward situation where we have one proposal to consider that does one thing, but the other proposal isn't before us. And I don't want to have both of them before us, but I am certainly not adverse to this body considering it.

You should never fear the debate, and if it is the will of the body to bring this back for it and give Commissioner Smith an opportunity to have this heard, I certainly FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

1 understand.

2.2

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Levesque.

COMMISSIONER LEVESQUE: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. So Commissioners, I voted no on

bringing back Commissioner Smith's proposal and

then I voted yes on Commissioner Stemberger's.

So I want to explain to everyone why I voted

inconsistently, because I am going to ask you

to vote no on reconsidering Commissioner

Smith's proposal.

I agree with what Senator Carlton,

Commissioner Carlton said, that there is a

reason that the Bills or the proposals that

went through the committee process, there was,

that is why we have the committee process.

We have given, we have 36 proposals that made it through the committee process that should be heard, but here is the difference and I want to -- I want to say this because I think there is a lot of you who feel like since we brought Commissioner Stemberger's back, it is only fair to bring back Commissioner Smith's, and here is the difference.

Commissioner Stemberger has given me his word, and he has given this word to other FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

people that he asked to support bringing out of committee, that he is not going to make us take a vote on that proposal.

He wants his opportunity to have five minutes to get up and explain why he thought it was important, and then he is going to TP it, and we are not going to vote on it.

And I will support Commissioner Smith's proposal to bring it out of committee if he gives me his word that he only wants to talk about it and he is not going to make us vote on it.

But to be consistent, right, I only supported Commissioner Stemberger's to bring it out of committee because he wanted an opportunity to correct the record of people who attacked him in those Commission hearings when he couldn't speak, and he is not going to make us vote on it.

So you can be consistent by voting no on Commissioner Smith's proposal, because he is going to ask us to take a vote and then that would make it different than all the other proposals that were killed in committee.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Sprowls is FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

recognized.

COMMISSIONER SPROWLS: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. Well, we have obviously created
a quagmire for ourselves a little bit. So
let's see if we can't walk it back. Like
Commissioner Levesque, I think many of us were
under the understanding, true understanding
that because Commissioner Stemberger was in a
situation, and I think he was correct not to
engage in a public hearings.

He wanted an opportunity, Mr. Chair, to address some of the informational things that came out, wanted to be able to state the reason he filed his proposal and maybe correct some of the things that were said along the way.

I think we wanted to extend him that courtesy because they were personal in nature, and I think that is a little bit different. So we want to extend him that courtesy. He did not intend, and does not intend to ask for a vote.

But I get where we are and I respect

Commissioner Smith for making this motion. So

I think there may be a better way to do this.

I would be willing to -- to suggest, you FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

know, to the Chair that maybe we grant at some point when it is convenient during this CRC process a few moments, four or five minutes, five minutes, ten minutes, for Commissioner Stemberger, a moment of personal privilege, to address some of the things that came out during the Commission.

I think that -- and he is willing to withdrawal his proposal so that we can kind of walk back the inconsistency situation that we find ourselves in, which would underscore his intention which was to never hear the proposal in a substantive way as we have heard all of the rest of the proposals.

so I don't know if Commissioner Smith is willing to do that, but I think that that would give us our situation where we can address some of the concerns that Commissioner Stemberger has, but not find ourselves in this thing for the next few days where we are all looking back at all of the proposals that have died and starting to try to claw them back. So that would be my suggestion, Mr. Chair, to the body.

If we go forward with this vote I would ask you to vote no and that way we can go

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

through that process of having Commissioner

Stemberger withdraw his proposal and we will go back to, we will go back to even. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: There is a lot of microphones today, and I would like to make sure I don't call people -- I am trying to keep in order when I see them go first so I am fair. Commissioner Carlton, do you want to speak now? You would have been -- yes, okay.

Yes, no, I was, I am trying to keep order so when I see the mikes go up, I want to try to follow up. So you would be the next one, but if you would still like to speak.

COMMISSIONER CARLTON: Well, yes, thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Carlton is recognized.

COMMISSIONER CARLTON: So, and I think it is kind of hard to follow up what Commissioner Sprowls just said because if that is what Commissioner Stemberger is going to do, I would think -- I don't know, I mean, I would think our rules allow for a point of personal privilege on the floor, you know, at any point in time that any of us can ask for the Chair's FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

indulgence for a point of personal privilege where we can, you know, for a few moments, say whatever we want to or, you know, show pictures of our dog or whatever.

I don't know. But, so I am a little bit stumped as to what to say now that Commissioner Sprowls said that, because if there are certain things that are going to happen and that is going to change what I am going to say. So I don't know if that is what Commissioner Stemberger's intention is. So now we -- are we walking back or are we not walking back? That is, I guess, my question.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Being the least knowledgeable on the rules in this room I would like to stay on Commissioner Smith's motion.

COMMISSIONER CARLTON: All right, you want to stay on that motion, all right, you know --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Because Commissioner

Smith would like us to take a vote on his

motion.

COMMISSIONER CARLTON: Okay, so if

Commissioner Smith wants to take a vote on this

motion, then call me inconsistent. I am going

to change my vote, because I think that it is

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

only fair if we are going to go down the path of pulling proposals out of committee, I cannot sit here in this chair and say which ones are deserving of being pulled out and which ones aren't. And whether there was a quorum there and whether there was not, and if there would have been three or four other people there or not.

I mean, we could go back and recreate the entire last year, year of our committee meetings, okay. So if you want to do that for one of us, then honestly, it is in the fair category. We should do that for all of us, because I don't think -- I don't even know what Commissioner Smith's proposal does. I have no idea. I wasn't in any of those committees.

I don't know what he and Senator Lee are talking about. So, you know, that, and that is -- and that is fine. So I can't sit here now that -- now that we are in a position of having to make judgment calls on whether to pull things out of committee.

I can't make a judgment call. So then I am going to -- I am going to vote and say, well, if we are going to pull Commissioner FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

Stemberger's proposal out of committee, which I am familiar with, I voted against in committee, then I am going to say it is only fair to vote for Senator Smith's motion to reconsider his proposal and remove it from committee.

So I would ask you to support Commissioner Smith's motion.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Donalds is recognized.

COMMISSIONER DONALDS: Thank you, Chair.

I have to reject the notion that all proposals are created equal, and that if we bring one then we have to bring all. I am sorry, that is not the case.

I, too, voted to allow Commissioner

Stemberger to bring back his proposal, which I
am familiar with, I voted for in one committee.

I watched the entire Judiciary Committee
hearing where he laid out his case, and I would
welcome the opportunity to be able to vote, and
I think that others would, although he has as
was stated, said that he simply wanted to make
his case in front of the entire body, which I
would give him an opportunity to do.

In the case of Commissioner Smith's FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

proposal, it is a different proposal. It went through a different process, and as

Commissioner Lee stated, there were two competing proposals that came through our Local Government Committee, and then Commissioner

Smith's proposal went to the Legislative

Committee.

2.2

And I also followed that proposal and its demise, if you will, in the Legislative

Committee. That is not the same thing in my mind as the proposal that I said I would be in favor of bringing to this floor.

And if other proposals are brought forward I am going to make a determination on that particular proposal based on the facts and circumstances of that particular proposal and whether I think that that proposal should come to this full body for consideration.

I have been watching this entire process as many of you have and watched as many committee hearings as possible. I have read all the proposals. I have read all the staff analysis, and I am not trying to be necessarily fair in the sense that all proposals are created equal.

I am looking at each individual proposal and the process that we have gone through to say whether or not I believe that it should come, and I would say I hope that very few, if none, come to this floor, because I believe in the process that we have been through to thoroughly vet these proposals in the committee hearings and I think that we are in a very good place.

But I would reject that, because I vote one way on bringing it up on the full floor on one proposal, that I would have to vote that way on every proposal, when each one of these is very unique, very different, has gone through a very different process, whether it be amended or whether there were competing proposals that were both killed or died in committees at the same time. And now one is being brought up and not the other.

I would just say that we have to consider each proposal on its own merits. Thank you, and I will be voting against the reconsideration.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Stemberger is recognized.

COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I just want to verify that it is

true, at least 17 members of this Commission

over the last couple of days, I have personally

gone to them and told them I wanted to be

heard, and that after being heard that I would

withdraw the amendment or TP it, either way, it

doesn't matter to me. That was my intention

all along.

I just want to be clear that that is the case, and if I am given the opportunity to present for ten minutes tomorrow I will withdraw it and it will be over with.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Solari is recognized.

COMMISSIONER SOLARI: Well, there is a few people here who like home rule more than me.

There is -- the point of it is not to win, and I am not sure that at the end of the day if this amendment is even in the Constitution that it really settles anything.

For some reason there is animosity basically in the House against home rule, and I don't know what, what the basis of it is, but I don't think a contentious item like this helps FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

1 us get to where we need to be.

2.2

And for that reason I would like what

Commissioner Sprowls said. He gave a good

opening for Commissioner Stemberger, and I

would much rather think of the long term

relationship which is necessary to be formed

and to grow for every local elected leader and

every member of this Legislature.

So I am willing to give up support of this issue if we just understand that we actually need to rethink this. There are many reasons and I have had a brilliant nine-and-a-half minute speech why it was so good to put this Constitution here in local government, I hope you all go back to watch it. But at the end of the day this isn't going to work if we build up a wall of anger and hatred on the other side.

Because it can't work for the people in the state of Florida if we have two fighting bodies, two sets of enemies. So for that reason and I am just -- I am going to vote against this simply with the hope, and I am not usually one who leads too much on hope, I would much rather work on experience, that this could be a start of rethinking the basis for the FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

present relationship and the value of a new one. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Timmann is recognized on debate to bring back Proposal 61.

COMMISSIONER TIMMANN: Just very briefly I promise. I never thought I would thank

Commissioner Solari for being such a peacemaker. But I do, and, of course,

Commissioner Sprowls. I would like to reiterate, I did -- I was one of the ones who did vote in favor of Commissioner Stemberger's bringing -- just bringing it back and having the opportunity to speak.

But I recognize that, I am also a rule follower, and I think it is very important for all of us, we have kept good parameters on everything we do. I don't want to say that I regret the vote because I gave my word that I would give him the opportunity to be heard. I did not give him my word that I would vote for his proposal, but he gave me his that he would withdraw it ultimately.

But again, in the interest of peacemaking and cooperation, I am going to vote against Commissioner Smith's proposal, which by the FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

way, I voted for in Local Government. 1 2 you. 3 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Stemberger 4 is recognized. 5 COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Thank you, Mr. 6 Chairman. I would like to let the Chair know 7 along with the rest of these Commissioners that 8 I am willing to withdraw the proposal now as 9 long as I have the Chair's word that I have the 10 ability to present tomorrow for a period time 11 on this issue. 12 You have my word that CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 13 you will have your ten minutes. 14 COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Then I will 15 withdraw the proposal at this time, 22. 16 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Coxe is 17 recognized on Commissioner Smith's motion. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 18 COMMISSIONER COXE: 19 I recognize and acknowledge that Commissioner 20 Stemberger was unfairly attacked as 21 Commissioner Nunez was also unfairly attacked. 2.2 I voted not to bring Commissioner Stemberger's 23 back, and I don't have to say how I voted 24 because it was a voice vote on Commissioner 25 Smith's. So whichever you want to hear right

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

now, Commissioner Smith, is the way I voted.

I agree with Commissioner Carlton, to go down this road to me gives me heartburn in two respects: Number one, it is almost an abandonment of the committee process that we agreed to participate in, in the first place.

Number two, I think we were telling the public in the forums we had 37 proposals and now we go down this road, it is not 37 that we led the public to believe it, it is a lot more than 37 when we started doing this.

I am a big believer in I think fairness and for that reason I am going to vote in favor of bringing his back.

Any further debate CHAIRMAN BERUFF: before I ask Commissioner Smith to close on his proposal, to bring back his proposal?

Commissioner Smith, would you please close on your motion to --

Thank you, Mr. Chair. COMMISSIONER SMITH: I just wanted to, one comment that was made by my good friend, Commissioner Donalds. said all proposals aren't equal and I 100 percent agree, because my proposal passed the first committee four-three, just like 22 FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

passed four-three. Then my proposal in the second committee had a 2-2 vote whereas

Proposal 22 on its second committee had a two-four and four against vote. Yes, so they are nearly equal.

Mine had much more support in committees and then you voted to bring it back. So we are at a point, I am not going here to grandstand and try to, you know, do anything. I want to get it passed, and out of the 16 years in this chamber and working so many years here and walking around with both sheets serving in the minority, I can count.

I am not bringing this forward to make a point of bringing it forward just to, you know, because I am angry. I am bringing it forward to try to get it passed, and I have gone through my vote sheet in the last 10 minutes while you all spoke and I can count. So with that I withdraw my motion.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Smith, that is very gracious of you, very gracious.

We will now -- I have lost where I am quite frankly. Where are we? We are going to FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

-- we are going, we are going to temporarily 1 2 postpone 67. No? Now, what do you mean -- no, that is what 3 4 I was told. Hold on. Commissioner Levesque. 5 COMMISSIONER LEVESQUE: I would like to be 6 recognized to make a motion to reconsider the 7 withdrawal of Mr. -- Commissioner Stemberger's 8 proposal. 9 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: It is already withdrawn. 10 It is not available. 11 COMMISSIONER LEVESQUE: We didn't vote on 12 that one. 13 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: It was withdrawn by --14 so, 67 -- we are now going to call for Proposal 15 72, Commissioner Karlinsky, would you please 16 present your proposal? Thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER KARLINSKY: Well, this is 18 going to be anti-climatic. 19 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: We are ready for 20 something simple. 21 COMMISSIONER KARLINSKY: I would like to 22 move to reconsider my withdrawal of my non-withdrawal. So the bad news is we are not 2.3 24 going to get to vote on this because I think 25 that we would have passed this good proposal

unanimously.

The good news is that the Legislature has passed the same proposal and it is going on the ballot as Amendment Number 5. So Proposal 72, Mr. Chair and Commissioners, would have required a two-thirds vote to increase taxes or fees or create any new taxes or fees.

As you all know, we have had seven years of unprecedented economic growth in the state of Florida. Governor Scott and the Legislature have cut taxes over 80 times, representing \$10 billion in money back to the citizens and the businesses of the state of Florida. And fortunately this proposal, if adopted by the voters, which I know we all hope will be done, will continue our economic growth in the state of Florida.

So with that, Mr. Chair, I withdraw

Proposal 72 and hope that it does pass in the

form that the Legislature put it on the ballot.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: And moments like this I do believe in miracles.

Commissioner Nunez is recognized.

COMMISSIONER NUNEZ: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I just wanted the record to FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

reflect that for the first time that I have 1 2 been sitting here for the past few days, 3 somebody finally said the Legislature did a 4 good thing, so --5 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Karlinsky 6 is recognized. 7 COMMISSIONER KARLINSKY: I would like to 8 withdraw the comments I just made. 9 kidding. 10 Okay, we are moving on CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 11 to Proposal 10. Commissioner Gaetz, if you 12 would like to introduce your proposal. 13 COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Thank you very much, 14 Mr. Chairman. First of all, I have never been 15 unfairly attacked. I bask in universal 16 admiration. 17 Mr. Chairman, Constitutions are 18 instruction manuals for government, we know 19 that, but Constitutions are, as so many have 20 said during these days, expressions of what 21 Madison called self-evident truths. 22 Proposal 10 amends Article 9, the 23 education section of the Constitution, and it 24 is one-sentence long. Here it is. 25 education is essential to the preservation of

the rights and liberties of the people the

Legislature shall provide by law for the

promotion of civic literacy in order to ensure

that students enrolled in public education

understand and are prepared to exercise their

rights and responsibilities as citizens of a

constitutional democracy."

2.

2.2

This proposal is not prescriptive. It is not a requirement to teach a particular curriculum or even to have a civics course.

That would not belong in the Constitution.

This proposal leaves the methods and means of promoting civic literacy to the Legislature, the Board of Education, the Department of Education.

Proposal 10 simply says that it is the constitutional responsibility of our K-20 school system to ensure that by the time students graduate they understand and are prepared to exercise their rights and responsibilities as citizens.

Why does this issue rise to a level of importance that we would consider it here?

Because according to research from the Education Commission of the States, the Pugh

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

Research Center, the Council of Trustees and Alumni and the Annenberger Center for Public Policy in their 2017 report, a majority of recent college graduates cannot identify the substance of the First Amendment.

Seventy percent of recent college graduates don't know the Constitution is the supreme law of the land. Three-fourths cannot name all three branches of government.

A majority of college seniors in the top
55 colleges in America could not identify
Valley Forge or the Gettysburg Address and did
not know basic facts about their own voting
rights. Eighty percent of recent college grads
didn't know what the Emancipation Proclamation
did.

Two-thirds don't know how the Constitution is amended, and an alarming percentage of recent college graduates in America think that owning a pet is guaranteed by the Bill of Rights, and that Judge Judy is on the Supreme Court.

How is Florida doing? We are doing better than many states. Our next generation Sunshine State Standards call for a curricular content FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

in civics. The Bob Graham Center here in Florida is doing nationally significant work in teaching our teachers how to teach civics.

Our State Board and Department of

Education have made progress in this area, and
as a consequence our students are testing at a
higher level of proficiency in basic civics
than the rest of the country. So why can't we
just leave this to the Legislature? Because
the Legislature changes its mind.

That is the nature of the Legislature, and the Legislature issues come and go. They go in and out of fashion, and especially education issues go in and out of fashion. Physical education requirements were raised, then modified, then raised, then changed again during my time in the Senate.

Career technical education was in the back lot of the school for decades, now it is funded like advanced placement. Standardized testing was the fashion, then end-of-course exams were viewed as more reliable and were mandated for every single course. And then legislators responded to criticism and then, of course, exams were unfair and so they were repealed for FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

many courses. Online instruction was an oddity, then it became a mandate, and now you can satisfy your physical education requirement online.

New leadership comes to Tallahassee and for two years or four years priorities change. That is appropriate, but then the priorities change again. Education methods change and they should change, but the Constitution enshrines what we don't change our mind about. Constitutions say what should be permanent.

That is why Florida's Higher Education

Coordinating Council has recommended making

civic literacy a fundamental and permanent

responsibility of our K-20 system. The

survival of our democratic institutions depends

on citizens who know their rights and

responsibilities and know how to exercise them.

That is a self-evident truth and that is why the promotion of civic literacy is a fundamental value. It belongs in the Constitution and that is what Proposal 10 would accomplish and that is the proposal, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Proposal, questions, FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Commissioner Smith is recognized.

COMMISSIONER SMITH: Thank you, Commissioner Gaetz. Now, this would only cover public schools. How about schools receiving public dollars?

> CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Gaetz.

COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Thank you, Mr. The proposal as it stands, the Chairman. proposal as it stands, Senator Smith, says students enrolled in public education. consequently it would be up to the Legislature to determine whether or not students who are enrolled in what we may call schools that take Step-Up for Children's Scholarships and other forms of public funds would be included or not included.

I would leave that to the Legislature. That is not prescriptive in this legislation, in this proposal.

> CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Smith.

COMMISSIONER SMITH: So if the Legislature, in looking at past history from the Legislature, if the Legislature determines that public education only includes public schools, which would include public schools and FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

charter schools, would this be a burden put on public schools and charter schools that is not put on private schools?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Gaetz.

COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. First of all, Commissioner

Smith, I would not classify the promotion of civic literacy as a burden. I would classify it as a responsibility that could be carried out in many ways. There is no requirement here for a particular course or even that there be a course.

But the answer to the question would depend upon how the Legislature defined the extent of this constitutional requirement.

They could define it either way and I would leave that to the members of the Legislature.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Questions? Commissioner Washington.

COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Good afternoon.

Thank you, President Gaetz, Commissioner Gaetz, as we had a very good conversation about this in Education Committee. The question that I had in committee still remains. One, if we, you are saying it doesn't have a specific

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

course or requirement.

How would this be implemented? Would anything change with this amendment?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Gaetz.

COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I would suspect, and I would defer to our Commissioner of Education, who is here should she can care to comment, that the way that you determine whether or not an educational value has been implemented is by measurement.

I am not here to prescribe or to advocate for a particular form of measurement, but I would imagine that right now we measure competence in civics education.

My suspicion is that this Commissioner, based upon the work that she has done in the past and the work that the Board of Education has done in the past, would continue to measure that competence in ways that you believe are -are valid and reliable.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Washington, do you have another question?

COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: I do. you. You just actually brought up a very FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

important, another important point that we addressed in committee, civic education versus civic literacy.

Do we have a definition for civic literacy or are you intending for those two things to be the same? We have had a number of conversations about words matter. So I just want to get your intent.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Gaetz.

COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Obviously the Legislature would, if
they chose, enact enabling legislation, and my
suspicion is that the State Board of Education
would adopt whatever they considered to be
necessary rules. And a lot of the definition I
believe would come from that rule-making or
enabling legislation process.

I would simply say that a civics course is a course that has a prescribed curriculum, and it is taught in a particular way. It may have study guides, it may have particular methods of testing and measurement that relates specifically to that course and of the competencies in one wants to measure.

Civic literacy is a, if you will, a state FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

have a civically literate student population or

-- or population in general by the way that

they act, and by general kinds of criteria like

finding out if people know what the three

branches of government are. Finding out if

people know how Florida's Constitution is

amended. Finding out if people know who the

Governor of Florida is.

I mean, that determines whether or not you have -- you have a level of literacy, but a particular civics course, obviously, can be measured and its effectiveness can be judged based on the course content and whether the course content is covered and then tested and measured.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Further questions on Proposal 10? Are there any further questions on Proposal 10 before we go to debate?

Commissioner Joyner raced to her microphone. So she has a question.

COMMISSIONER JOYNER: I just want to go back to where Commissioner Smith was. So you are going to leave it to the Legislature to decide -- what is it -- public, I don't see FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

what it is. Oh, students enrolled in public education and whether or not that includes students at schools that receive public dollars are included in this proposal.

I just want the record to accurately reflect your intent or our intent of the intent that you intend for it to be, so that when it comes up in the Legislature and all of these gifted legislators we have here will probably be gone from there, and I just want it clear, because I am concerned about that.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Gaetz.

COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman, thank you, Leader. I believe it is an open question that the Legislature ought to define. I don't believe that parsing out those differences and prescribing methods of measurement and how and who and by when the measurements have to occur belongs in the Constitution.

This is a fundamental value which I believe ought to be articulated, but I -- I believe that we should leave to the Legislature and the Board of Education the definitions that -- that you have raised.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Other questions? Commissioner Gaetz, thank you for bringing forward this proposal, a very worthwhile topic and important, and I think important for the citizens of Florida. I have a question, and I -- if it has been addressed and I missed it, I apologize, but -and if I am wrong then I am terribly embarrassed. But line 18, it refers to our responsibilities as citizens and of the constitutional democracy and this is, if I am correct, I think we can address it in Style and Drafting, but I believe it would be a Constitutional Republic as opposed to a Democracy, but I wondered if anybody has raised that or if I am mistaken. And there is a CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Gaetz. Thank you,

I will leave that to Thomas Jefferson and James Madison to work out.

Thank you, thank you.

I am happy to have

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Are they here to testify 1 2 this evening? 3 COMMISSIONER GAETZ: If we wait long 4 enough, Mr. Chairman. 5 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Apparently you knew 6 them. 7 COMMISSIONER GAETZ: I knew them well. 8 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Further questions? 9 Seeing no further questions, is there debate? 10 Commissioner Stewart, you are recognized. 11 COMMISSIONER STEWART: Thank you, Chair. 12 I promise that I will not speak on every 13 education proposal that is coming forward, but 14 I find myself needing to speak on this one and 15 you and I have spoken about it before, 16 Commissioner Gaetz. 17 I think that civic literacy is very, very 18 important and I think that it is something that 19 all of our citizenry in Florida absolutely must 20 One only has to watch Jeopardy to know 21 that even our smartest don't know all of the 22 Bill of Rights and what are in the Bill of 23 Rights. 24 You only have to watch this process to 25 know that individuals do not know what their

civic responsibility is and I think it is very, very important.

2.

I lean on the side of Commissioner

Schifino on if we have too many proposals and right now I count 16 I think, that we have voted up for Style and Drafting, it will be overwhelming to the voters come November. And at some point we are going to have to think about those good proposals and whether or not we believe that we must add them to the Constitution, and which ones we must add to the Constitution for fear that they will all lose when citizens become weary when they go to the polls.

We currently do have legislation that requires civic literacy in our K-12 system and now in our college system and our university system. And it also includes with that an accountability system by having an appropriate assessment at each of those levels. And those have been established in K-12, they are being established as we speak in the college and university system, so I only make that comment.

I am not necessarily stating how I will vote on this particular proposal. Knowing the FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

importance and believing that we have got to do 1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

what we can as individuals in Florida to make sure that all of our citizens, all of our citizens, not just K-20, but all of our citizens are aware of their responsibility when it comes to civics.

So with that, Commissioner Gaetz, I support your having brought this proposal Did I say that on the fence enough?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Solari is recognized.

COMMISSIONER SOLARI: I am about to do the stupidest thing I have ever done in my political life, and that is debate Senator Gaetz on the floor of the Senate.

But I love American history, and while a lot of you are watching Gators and the other team, whatever it is, watch football on a Saturday, I might be reading something about American History, and I would be happy to discuss with any of you later if you have an hour or two, the real distinctions between the ideological riches of the American Revolution and the constitutional riches of the American Revolution.

But a couple of things that I have learned from that or I think I have learned from that are these. That the basis of our freedom is that we are autonomous individuals, and I think that is important. And the basis of our democracy is self-government. So I find it incredible irony that we are here trying to decide whether we are going to mandate that the students of our country have to learn about their freedoms.

I mean, yes, they should learn about them, absolutely, and yes, the school system should teach them, absolutely. However, if you talk about the school system, my wife actually asked this question at an event recently, how are you going to teach the teachers, because believe me, the teachers don't know how to teach American History, and that is very clear. And what history are you going to teach?

If you read Sotomayor's dissent in the Lutheran Church case, you will realize that she learned a much different history, at least, at least than I did, and I find it absolutely incredible that we grew up in the same country and learned the same things.

Again, at the end of the day the big thing is that parents and teachers and uncles and aunts and grandparents have to want to instill these things in children and make the children want to learn them.

I just -- I just find that, again, the irony too much that it would actually mandate that you have to learn your freedom rather than have us all live a life where the children of our country want to learn about our freedom.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Plymale is recognized.

COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: Sorry, we shouldn't be debating who is going first. That is your choice.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Refer to the ladies always.

COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: I would like to, I think the whole point is very important. My point when we start talking about cluttering the Constitution, we have kind of set ourselves up here that there is clearly a values amendment that would have to be in the Constitution. And if we don't, I mean, once we FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

say something is a value, which now we have got several of them, there are these very important values.

I don't want somebody coming back and thinking that I don't think this is a good value, because it is a very important value.

And I would think that Style and Drafting can actually look at that and say, you know, this is — this is what we say are some important values for Florida and see if people agree with that, because that is what we are saying this is, is a value, fundamental value, I think, quoting you. So I think we should consider that.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Nocco.

COMMISSIONER NOCCO: Thank you, Chair. As long as one -- as I was sitting here, you heard other people talk about proposals, that, you know, as they were coming up here that they thought about and, you know, what they were going to speak about, and I really wasn't intending, because I know it is a late hour and I know people are running to get pizza and I -- but it was one of those things that I heard you speak about.

And I want to stand up and say I applaud you for it, because this is one of those issues that when you really think about the fundamental of who we are as Americans and Floridians, is that our students should realize, you know, how important our democracy is, or our republic, however Brecht and the crew are going to determine that.

But it is one of those things, you know, how many times have people gone to elections, you know, we give out driver's licenses and people have to go take a test.

How many times have we been to voting, to go stand in line and people really don't know, they think they are voting for a U.S. Senator and it is a State Senator. They think they are voting for -- they are not sure about who they are voting for, and those are the fundamental things that we constantly see.

And they will say, you know, they will go to a State Representative, you know, and they will talk about immigration, and they will say, no, that is a Federal issue.

So those are the types of things that I think fundamentally are critical. So I just FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

want to stand up and applaud you because,

Senator, because this is one of those issues

that I think it is important, and I believe our

teachers will know this.

2.2

There will be teachers that will be, as the process is done and the Legislature decides who does this, I think they will figure out a way to do this because I do have a lot of respect for the Legislature, I think they can get things done, but I think it is as it is, too. It is a good evening, this is a good evening.

But I think the other side of it also is unfortunately, and our members and our organization see this every day, they are not parents out there.

They are parents only because they gave birth to a child, they are only parents because they were the father a child, but they are not raising the children, and it is not saying it is the government's role to raise children.

But a lot of times in the education system we have to provide them fundamental life skills, and I think living in our country, living in our state, that life skill should FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

also be understanding how government works.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Keiser is recognized.

COMMISSIONER KEISER: Commissioner Gaetz,
I rise in support of your proposal, and
Commissioners, I want to share with you
something that you already know, but when
someone seeks citizenship, and I understand it
is called the naturalization process, there are
many questions that they have to take that have
to do with our government, with civics.

How much more -- that, it is incumbent upon us to impart civics and to really focus on this for our youth through our education system. And I think it is wonderful as Commissioner Stewart has said that we are doing this, but it is a statement of our values, and it does have a rightful place in the Constitution. So Commissioner, I thank you. I do support it very much.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Stemberger is recognized.

COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I am assuming we are in debate,

correct?

1 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: We are.

COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Yes.

Commissioner, I want you to know how much -well, first of all, I am going to skip the
constitutional part and I am going to go to the
policy part.

I really, really appreciate, even though I can't support this proposal what you have done, because it is incredibly important. I am depressed every time I see the man-on-the-street interviews on universities.

We are -- our organization is radically committed to helping young people understand civics.

We do a mock Legislature, we promote all kinds of things, scholarships and what-not, so it is critically, critically needed. If we do not intentionally pass our values on, then understanding what the nature of the constitutional republic we live in, we are in deep trouble. And so I think that this is a critical thing. However, I have several concerns.

First of all, I just do not see, and I would like to hear your closing, a very FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

concise, I was listening in the back on TV, what your best argument is for why this is constitutional, and reminding with the framework that I have given you previously.

And then secondly, I am concerned, what if the Legislature does not act? What if there can't be an agreement? Does it force it to a joint committee? If the Legislature does not agree, does the teacher then have complete liberty to talk about whatever they want to talk about, because it is constitutionally mandated?

Are they then going to talk about politics and partisan politics and public policy and get that teacher's viewpoint of things if they don't have a specific curriculum? So I can't get over the constitutional issues. Even if I did get over the constitutional issues, I am concerned with how broad this is and the type of latitude that could be taken depending upon who the teacher is.

In a public university it is a free exchange of ideas. I am expecting to hear from all kinds of people about all kinds of things, but in K through 12 it needs to be a very FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

guarded situation where parents have primary influence and the schools have secondary influence regarding some of these matters regarding policy and politics. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Further debate on Proposal 10? Commissioner Washington is recognized.

COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Thank you.

Thank you so much, Commissioner Gaetz, and I appreciate the value proposition that you have proposed here in civics education. I don't think many of us would disagree that civic education and understanding is very important.

However, the one -- my one point of contention is similar to what I have heard from others, is the public education sphere can't be the only place that we care about this. So I would hope that as we think about, you know, maybe in the Style and Drafting for Brecht, how we don't just -- this is, if this is a fundamental value, that is something that is not necessarily within the walls of our K-12 or even our post secondary institutions, and how do you -- how do you write that or draft that in a way that it is not just solely on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

responsibility of teachers and students.

But, you know, as Commissioner Johnson mentioned, you know, for people who move into this state, how are we ensuring that our entire citizenry, most Floridians weren't born here and may not be educated here, so how do we ensure that we are bringing up the bar for all of our citizens?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Any further debate on Proposal No. 10? Commissioner Coxe.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. COMMISSIONER COXE: Commissioner Keiser mentioned naturalization. When I was President of the Florida Bar I required the 51-member Board of Governors to take the naturalization exam just to see how much they really did know about civics in this country, and it was an interesting experience and one I would recommend anybody here to take the exam to see and smart you are, but my concern is why Constitution.

As I understand current statutes they cover everything that this proposal would do. The issue is whether or not -- I don't know, your phrase was ebb and flow or legislators change, et cetera, but I guess in my analysis FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

24

25

1 2 statutes. The Legislature could change, they could 3 4 raise the driving age to 22, they could do all 5 sorts of things. So if the Legislature, and I 6 asked Commissioner Donalds about this, these 7 statutes, the staff analysis says they are in 8 play. 9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that is true about everything that is in the

I understand they are in play in the state of Florida. I think Commissioner Stewart said they are in play. Is the sole purpose of this to make sure if the Legislature changes they keep it, is that what we are doing?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Gaetz.

Do you wish me to COMMISSIONER GAETZ: close, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I think he ended sort on a question. But is there more debate on Proposal 10? I want to make sure I don't close anybody out.

Commissioner Gaetz, if you would close, that would be good.

Thank you very much, COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Mr. Chairman. And let me try to respond to Commissioner Coxe's points. The reason why, in FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

my judgment at least, this issue is constitutional is because it is true that statutes come and go, and that is the nature of statutes.

But Constitutions are about the permanent things as has been articulated by many people far better than me over these last two days.

It seems to me that a permanent thing, a fundamental value, the Higher Education Council has said so, is that civic literacy should be a permanent feature of our education system. And I have full faith and confidence in the Legislature to carry out a constitutional requirement.

But I also understand and I tried to illustrate it in my presentation of the proposal, that there are many, many examples of where the educational fashions of the day raise and lower the intensity and interest that the Legislature has in particular educational issues and methods.

And, in fact, in my own time in the Legislature we have seen 180-degree changes, and that is fine, because as research indicates we can find better ways to do things, like FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

teach math in a different way, then we ought to teach math in a different way, but it seems to me that civic literacy is a foundational requirement of -- of our -- of our constitutional republic.

If we don't have a -- a citizenry that has basic civic literacy, we begin to lose all of the other opportunities that we have to exercise our freedoms and to operate a -- a state or a community or even a nation, and I tried to illustrate that with some of the examples that were -- that were teeth grinding, but also a little bit funny about how, how we are becoming more illiterate.

And therefore we need to emphasize as the Higher Education Coordinating Council says, this fundamental requirement, this fundamental value.

And Commissioner Solari, I wish you, I wish it were true, and Commissioner Washington, I wish it were true, that we could say well, this is everyone's responsibility and everybody just ought to do it naturally and it ought to be learned at home and that ought to be that.

But that ship sailed a long time ago on

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

virtually every subject and every value in education.

We take on in education whether it is public education, private education or a mixture of the two, the responsibility to articulate and carry out fundamental values, and I think that -- I think that it was Commissioner Stemberger who talked about the, you know, the intentional necessity for -- for values.

And so we have to intentionally lift up civic literacy as a constitutional value because if we don't make it part of our -- of our permanent foundations of our society, of our state, then it is subject to those ebbs and flows and those changing fashions. And we have seen that occur in virtually every other part of public education.

Commissioner -- Commissioner Stewart has been extraordinarily kind with her -- with her help and her comments and her interchange with me on this topic in committee, privately and now here on the floor. And I appreciate her votes for this proposal in the past.

I have no idea how she will vote today, FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

but I would simply say that in order to affirm
the good work that has been done by the

Department of Education, which the Chairman of
the -- of the State Board and the Chairman of
the State Board that oversees our colleges and
universities have both acknowledged needs more
work.

2.

2.2

And the way you do that is by saying something is permanent, and then you make sure that, that through including it in the Constitution that the Legislature has the authority to carry it out.

Now, the question was raised, well, you know, what if the Legislature doesn't do it?

We have had all sorts of conversations and made all kinds of decisions in the last two days that require us to believe that the Legislature will carry out their oath of office to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the State of Florida.

If we don't believe the Legislature will carry out constitutional mandates, then we have wasted a year. I believe they will. I believe they will have healthy and vigorous debate about how to do it and then leave the methods

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

and means specifically to the Department of Education.

2.2

The question about ballot length I think is a very significant one. So let me make you this commitment. I don't believe that this provision should be a separate ballot item. I think that would be a terrible mistake for a couple of reasons.

First, it would create an unnecessarily long ballot. And secondly, because if the Style and Drafting Committee does what Chair Heuchan says it will do, and I am sure it will, and that is group proposals, this belongs in any grouping that deals with education.

I will give you this commitment: If the Style and Drafting committee comes back and says we have got this terribly long ballot and Proposal 10 is sitting there by itself lengthening the ballot, I will under the rules of this Commission move at that point to reconsider or strike or take out or divide the question and eliminate this entirely from our proceedings.

This should not add to the ballot length.

This should be grouped with other education

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

1 issues.

2.2

And let me finally say, if it is, I think it will help some of those other education issues pass. I don't think you are going to get too many people in the state of Florida who are going to look at a ballot that says our children ought to be civically literate and say we are sure as heck against that.

I think it will help whatever it is next.

And so therefore, let me -- let me just finally make -- answer another question. The question I think was asked by Commissioner Solari, so if we do this who will teach the teachers.

Well, fortunately in Florida we have an answer. The Bob Graham Center was set up by Governor Graham and by Congressman Frey. They set up a joint venture to teach teachers how to teach about our country and our state and our community and how our students can exercise their rights and responsibilities.

There is empirical evidence about the effectiveness of their work in terms of the teachers who have taken those courses and the Legislature has provided funding over the years to assist with the private funding that FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

Governor Graham has raised in order to support this effort.

2.2

2.3

It is non-political, it is non-partisan. It is a value that I hope we can all affirm and that is that our children should be literate in their rights and responsibilities and our education system as defined by the Legislature and carried out by our Department of Education and Board of Education, can make that happen if you will vote yes.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: We will now open the board for a vote on Proposal 10.

Commissioners, please vote. Commissioners, please vote. Close the board and give us a tally, please.

THE SECRETARY: Twenty-five yea's, seven nay's, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you. The motion is adopted and the proposal is committed to Style and Drafting Committee.

We are going to take up one more Proposal this evening before we call it quits. Proposal 67.

Commissioner Lee, would you like to take FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

1 this one?

2.2

COMMISSIONER LEE: I hear you. I am not sure there is much at this point for anyone to learn on this subject. I know that the members have been inundated with a lot of support for this proposal, and some support and some in opposition, but I am going to try summarize here as quickly as I can.

I know the hour is late. According to the industry there are roughly 8,000 racing dogs at Florida tracks currently. There are 12 tracks operating here in Florida, which represents about two-thirds of those tracks nationwide, because greyhound racing is illegal now in 40 states in America, and since 2004, the industry has essentially been cut in half.

Only six states have active dog tracks and since 1993, 13 states have prohibited dog racing. It is clearly an industry in decline. Since 1990 the amount wagered on greyhound racing has declined by 75 percent, the taxes and fees associated with that have been reduced by 98 percent.

As a result, the State loses money, a substantial amount of money. Essentially we FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

are propping up this industry by using other revenue sources inside of DBPR to fund the deficiency between the revenue that comes in off of dog racing and the cost to regulate it by the tune of somewhere between one million and \$3.3 million today.

That number is growing and that is based upon a study that was conducted on behalf of the Legislature in connection with a recent committee that I believe was created under President Gaetz's presidency in the Senate, to review parimutuel wagering in Florida.

It is -- it is estimated that eliminating dog racing as a mandate would reduce gambling in our state by an estimated \$23 million a year, and that phasing out greyhound racing will reduce gambling more than \$225 million annually.

The -- let me go to really what is at the core of this however, and that is the issue of humanity or inhumanity, and the injuries and deaths that have been reported in this industry of late, despite the efforts by the industry to block injury and death reporting.

We have established some statistics here FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

in Florida, that a greyhound dies every three -- three days at a Florida track. Since the State began tracking greyhound deaths in 2013, 438 dogs have died at race tracks in our state.

Florida and Alabama are the only two states now have some for of greyhound injury reporting injury to the public, and we have so far 26 injuries that have been reported at a kennel in Sanford, 18 dogs have suffered broken bones and three dogs have died.

This is the first year that we have begun this reporting. It took 14,000 signatures to force this reporting to occur, and then you get to the issue of confinement and these animals are kept in cages. They are bred exclusively for the purposes of being used for profit in wagering, and they are kept in cages for somewhere between 20 and 23 hours a day.

And we heard and, you know, we try not to engage with the public and we are there to listen, but at the most recent public hearing we heard a lot of stories about how these dogs would be so happy and wag their tail and what-have-you when they are out racing, and -FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

and I was sitting there thinking, well,
wouldn't you be happy and wagging your tail if
you were in a cage for 23 hours and then all of
a sudden got to get out and go race.

Then we have had the issue of doping, and -- and female greyhounds have been given anabolic steroids, some drugs that are too long for me to pronounce. But they sound like some sort of a drug to prevent loss of racing days by prohibiting the dogs from getting pregnant, and we have had a bunch of them that have tested positively at Florida dog tracks, at least 30 times since in the last two years.

So I think what I will do, Mr. Chair, is I anticipate some questions and maybe if they are not asked I will handle that in my close, but I will stop now and take any questions.

We do have an amendment, sir, and I am happy to explain that when you are ready.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: So we have questions on Proposal 67 before we go to the amendments.

Commissioner Smith.

COMMISSIONER SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I guess a couple of questions about what I just heard. You stated that it is outlawed,

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

greyhound racing is outlawed in 40 states, I am 1 2 sorry, I missed that. How many states have 3 outright outlawed greyhound racing? CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee. 4 5 COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 6 It is my understanding that 40 states have 7 banned greyhound racing. 8 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Smith. 9 COMMISSIONER SMITH: Secondly you stated 10 that greyhound racing is in the decline in 11 Florida. Why would government step in? 12 Why wouldn't we let the market dictate if 13 it is in a decline, why wouldn't we just let 14 the market dictate that decline? CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee. 15 16 COMMISSIONER LEE: Because a lot of people 17 in this state believe that this is an inhumane 18 activity in 2018, and that we should follow the lead of most other states in America. 19 20 We have two-thirds of the dog tracks left 21 in this country and it is time to ban this 2.2 inhumane activity. 23 Commissioner Smith. CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 24 COMMISSIONER SMITH: Another point that 25 was made. You talked about reducing gambling

and I am trying to follow that. So if a track now is losing money as we are comparing on greyhound racing, and they're losing money and if you get rid of greyhound racing now the track has more money to invest back into the track which is now a casino, I don't understand the logic of how taking away money lost for them will reduce gambling.

2.2

I would think that if I own a track and I am losing money on greyhound racing, but now you have taken that away and I can invest it back into my track which is now a casino, that I could get more people to come, thus increasing gambling. So it is hard for me to follow the logic of how this reduces gambling.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Well, I think the use of the term "casino" is misleading. There are no casinos in Florida. There are race tracks that have simulcast rights, they have some card rooms located in some of those parimutuel facilities. Down in southeast Florida where you are from, they -- some of these facilities have been -- have authorized slots machines, I believe by ballot initiative, but there are no FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

casinos in Florida.

And these activities are being limited by the Legislature already, and I don't think the reinvestment of any money that they may generate. Some tracks want to continue to do dog racing, some don't.

I don't think any of the money that they would generate, there is any evidence has been presented anywhere along the way that it would be reinvested for the purposes of expanding gaming or that that would be authorized by the Legislature.

> Commissioner Smith. CHAIRMAN BERUFF:

COMMISSIONER SMITH: There are not casinos, like in my area they are called parimutuel facilities. Parimutuel meaning that they have some type of live racing. You get rid of that live racing, now what do we call them, places where you can play cards, gamble and play slots?

COMMISSIONER LEE: Well, by definition --I am sorry.

> Commissioner Lee. CHAIRMAN BERUFF:

By definition the card COMMISSIONER LEE: rooms that are authorized there have to be FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

parimutuel. They are not allowed to have bank card games. So the notion of parimutuel activity is gambling amongst people who also have skin in the game as opposed to playing against the house. So they would be still be parimutuel facilities.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Smith.

COMMISSIONER SMITH: I will wait until the amendment.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Questions on Proposal 67. Commissioner Jordan.

COMMISSIONER JORDAN: Thank you,
Mr. Chair. I just have a quick question.
Commissioner Lee, you said 40 states have
banned greyhound racing.

Was this by legislative act or have some of the states put it into their State

Constitution, and, if so, how many?

COMMISSIONER LEE: I do not know -- I do not know what each state has done or in the aggregate, how they have done it. The reason we are proposing it in our Constitution here is because there are three places in our Constitution, currently Article X, Section 7, which actually authorizes dog racing because FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

dog racing is only authorized for betting at 1 2 parimutuel facilities. It is otherwise banned in our state. 3 4 then also Article VII, Section 7, and Article X, Section 23, as well. So since there are so 5 6 much nexus to this issue in the Constitution I 7 thought it was appropriate to do it here. 8 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Jordan. 9 COMMISSIONER JORDAN: Thank you. So do we 10 know of any other states that have put it into 11 their Constitution as an outright ban? No, you 12 don't know that? 13 COMMISSIONER LEE: I don't know that any 14 of it happened. 15 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee. 16 COMMISSIONER JORDAN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Schifino is 17 18 recognized. 19 COMMISSIONER SCHIFINO: Thank you, Chair 20 Beruff. Boy this was an issue and we all dealt 21 with it and I happened to sit next to 2.2 Commissioner Lee at the meeting in St. Pete and 23 I think I slugged him about ten times at about 24 11:30 at night. 25 You know, I remember we hear stories from

very passionate people on both sides, right, and I imagine myself as a Judge or a juror listening to the argument, and it would go back and forth.

And I leaned over to Commissioner Lee at some point and I said, you know, I really wish I could take this group, put them in a real Senate hearing, and like you see on TV and Congress and have subpoena power under oath, you are now under oath and I would tell them all, whoever perjures himself is going to jail and make sure that happens, because when you listen, how could you tell who was really telling you the truth?

Either it is, either it works fine, you really, I had an incredibly difficult time. I hear you loud and clear, Commissioner Lee and your passion about it. I understand that, but I saw no, no data, no statistics.

I would have loved to have heard from some law enforcement individuals that have actually gone in and investigated these facilities.

Now, I understand and know a few people that have, they have done that, that are on staff with us. So I -- I am not telegraphing or

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

maybe a little bit of where I am leaning on 1 2 this one, but if you could -- is there any 3 empirical data other than I understand the 4 deaths, okay, we got the numbers in four or 5 five years, they had those and explained them. 6 But I think this is a tough call, very 7 compassionate, very passionate issue. 8 Certainly my heart went out to a lot of 9 those young kids and -- but certainly a very 10 tough call for me see where I come out on this 11 one. 12 Commissioner Smith is CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 13 recognized. 14 COMMISSIONER SMITH: A quick question. 15 How would this affect our compact with the 16 Seminole Tribe if this was to pass? 17 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee. 18 COMMISSIONER LEE: I do not see any impact 19 whatsoever on the compact. 20 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Is there a follow-up, 21 Commissioner Smith? 2.2 Commissioner Coxe is recognized. 23 COMMISSIONER COXE: Commissioner Lee, 24 following up Commissioner Jordan's question. 25 Is there anything in your proposal that cannot

be done by the Legislature? 1 2 COMMISSIONER LEE: Well, I would have to 3 say yes. COMMISSIONER COXE: 4 Which is what? 5 COMMISSIONER LEE: I, every year I have 6 been in the Legislature they have tried to do 7 it and they can't. That was your question. 8 COMMISSIONER COXE: Make it a legal 9 question instead of a practical question. 10 Legally could the Legislature do this? 11 In theory, they could. COMMISSIONER LEE: 12 What happens, Commissioner, is that the dogs 13 get held hostage for the coupling of 14 thoroughbred racing, and thoroughbred racing 15 affects purse pools, it affects jockeys, it 16 affects trainers and it affects owners who are 17 often pretty wealthy folks. 18 And so the Legislature has just been 19 wrapped around the axle over this and these 20 dogs continue to die in the interim. 21 COMMISSIONER COXE: Okay, if I understand 22 it correctly, I am sorry, Mr. Chair. referring to coupling, which is the requirement 2.3 24 in Florida that if you operate a card room you 25 must also operate the greyhound track, is that

_

a simple way to look at it?

COMMISSIONER LEE: Coupling essentially removing that requirement that you must run a full scheduled live racing in order to continue to enjoy those other permitted activities.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Coxe.

COMMISSIONER COXE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So Commissioner Lee, if the relationships were uncoupled, then the dogs are no longer held hostage, correct, because they could shut down the greyhound racing?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee.

where it was no longer economically viable, and there are some tracks in highly urban areas where the footprint of the track and the cost of maintaining it is so vast that that track could be re-purposed from a real estate standpoint to create much greater economic opportunity for the track owner, given the value of the underlying property relative to the amount of usage.

But in most places in the state the opportunity, they would not avail themselves of the opportunity to decouple, because it is FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

still -- they are still making, the owners of
the tracks are still making a lot of money on
it. And even if you did decouple, and
therefore if you did decouple you would still
have a lot of dog racing going on in the state.
The only way to stop the inhumanity is to ban
it.

2.3

COMMISSIONER COXE: One other question.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Coxe.

COMMISSIONER COXE: With respect to what you characterize as the inhumanity, would the 66 Sheriffs and the Department of Public Safety and 20 State Attorneys and crimes on our statutes that make it a felony to abuse animals, why is that not done with the horrors we have heard?

COMMISSIONER LEE: There is a lack of regulatory oversight in this industry. They are already losing several million dollars a year regulating as it is.

We heard testimony in some of the public hearings about the fact that there is not a lot of visitation going on. I assume that a lot of this goes unreported because it is unknown to anyone. The only one privy to a lot of this is FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

the owner or trainer and the dog. And in many cases the dog isn't there to tell a story anymore, if they ever could.

So I don't know, the industry has fought tooth and nail every effort by the Legislature to create reporting requirements so that we could have the statistics and that is the reason they have, Commissioner, because they don't want me to be able to stand here on the floor and quote you a bunch of damming statistics that would result in a unanimous vote.

COMMISSIONER COXE: Mr. Chairman, may I ask just one more?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Coxe.

COMMISSIONER COXE: Commissioner Lee, I attended most of the public hearings and Commissioner Schifino was discussing this, who do you believe and everything else.

What I didn't hear from all the people, the hundreds of people who complained about the abuses to the animals, that any one of them had ever gone to law enforcement to complain about that abuse. Do you know why that would be?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER LEE: I can't speak for -for what they have done and what they haven't
done. I wish I had an answer for you. I just
know that antidotally the evidence is clear.
We have had legislation every year about all of
these cocaine positives, the doping that is
going on in the industry, and I don't know why
no one seems to be following up and taking an
issue with this at the level that you and I
might prefer.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: The Chair will look to Mr. Cerio, Commissioner Cerio for a motion.

COMMISSIONER CERIO: Mr. Chairman, I move that we suspend the rules to extend our session until 8:00 p.m. this evening because we expire at 7:00.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: We have to do it. We are on the last proposal, folks. We are not going to put another one. We just need to do it procedurally. So everybody relax. Okay.

So back to questions for Mr. Lee's

Proposal 67. Sorry. Oh, we have to adopt it.

All those in favor signify by saying yea.

(Chorus of yea's.)

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Against? Sorry, the FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

yea's get it. It is one. So questions for
Commissioner Lee on Proposal 67, 67, we are
still on questions. We are going to close

2.2

questions.

Oh, Commissioner Bondi, I apologize, I didn't see you back there.

COMMISSIONER BONDI: Commissioner Lee, I just form this as a question. So I am sure that you are aware that I am a career prosecutor my entire adult life, and I am not sure if you are aware that I have prosecuted many, many cruelty to animals cases, even when I was a Felony Bureau Chief and not taking cases, I would take those.

And I am not sure if you are aware that these are virtually impossible to investigate by law enforcement and prove in a court of law because they are well hidden and you basically have to get a search warrant, which is very difficult to obtain. And I am also not sure, I am trying to ask questions, if I may, Chair, that these dogs I have seen firsthand after the fact are scared to death of their own shadow. They are -- it is inhumane, in my opinion it is cruelty to animals.

2.2

It is horrible. Are you aware that most of them are skin and bones when they are taken away from the dog tracks?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I did not realize that you had in your -- I

know you have been a career prosecutor. I

didn't realize you had done that many cases or

I would have deferred to you. But I have seen

some of these animals, and that have come out

of these facilities.

And one of the most telling features,

Commissioners, about an animal that has been
abused is, as Commissioner Bondi says, how it
behaves. You can tell by its behavior that it
has been mistreated horribly. And these
instances have been reported time and time
again by these adoption agencies that are
taking these dogs after their racing days,
after their racing eligibility has expired. So
I appreciate the question. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BONDI: One more?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Bondi.

COMMISSIONER BONDI: And Commissioner Lee, because I believe these are some of the worst FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

frankly cruelty to animal cases that I have seen and that are operating legally in our state and we are in -- are a minority in the entire country, I just learned that if your good amendment passes that and goes to the voters, that people around the country are watching and waiting and will take every single one of these dogs, not euthanize them and find them good homes. I am not sure if you are aware of that.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you. That is my understanding, as well.

COMMISSIONER BONDI: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Further questions?

Commissioner Lee's proposal.

Commissioner Jordon, you are recognized.

question, and I think it is a passionate subject matter. I have four dogs, two are rescues that were very abused. So I feel it, but I also feel like I need to just ask the question, because I worry about the fiscal impact, and the staff analysis does bring up 1.1 million in 19 and 20 and in 21, 1.3 million plus \$400,000 to the education coffers.

So I am just concerned when we take a vote that there is economic impact, especially to education. I worry about the dollars. So where, where do we make up those dollars, and is there a plan or has that been thought out by you with regard to the fiscal impact of this?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Mr. Chair. I don't have the staff analysis in front of me, but I don't see how that is remotely possible. One of the problems or challenges I think deficiencies in this Commission is that it is taking a staff analysis from the agencies that regulate these industries, and sometimes their perspective is compromised by the fact that there may be no longer a need for their services if we ban this activity.

The information I had a letter written by the Department to Senator Young I presented in committee that documented the losses that this — that the Department has from the regulation of these races. So I don't know how anybody could be suggesting today that they are throwing off any income, but I am happy to try to thumb through that staff analysis while we FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are in questions and debate.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Timmann.

COMMISSIONER TIMMANN: I will phrase this in the form of a question, I hope. Of course, one of the first things when I found out that I was appointed to this incredible Commission, that people would ask me, are you going to get rid of the pigs. And I felt strongly about it, and I actually drafted that amendment to try to do that.

And then I started studying the history on it and the first thing I realized was, although at that time I didn't know it was going to be greyhounds, I knew ultimately it would become like that issue has become. And I am concerned about that just in terms of the Constitution and the perception.

I would greatly support the Legislature banning greyhounds, the greyhound racing, and I will put that on the record and I firmly believe in that.

So my question for you, Commissioner Lee, would be, can you help us craft, would you be able to help us craft a statement back to everyone about how this is different than FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

putting the pigs, banning the pigs in the Constitution?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER JORDAN: You are so eloquent, I am sure you can do that.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Well, thank you,

Commissioner. I appreciate the question. It

is a fair one. It is. I am not a complete

expert on the pregnant pig amendment, but up

until the time that that issue was presented to

the voters, there was no reference to pigs,

pregnant or otherwise in the Constitution.

In our Constitution today, as I mentioned somewhat earlier in the conversation, Article X, Section 7, refers to these parimutuel pools of activity that occur at dog racing facilities. We have Article VII, Section 7, which also refers to them. The original Article 10, Section 7 outlawed gambling except gambling on parimutuel pools that were already legal was the greyhound racing parimutuel activity.

And then Article X, Section 23 provides a Constitutional process to authorize associated gaming and in conjunction with the operation of FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

greyhound racing. So there are three places in which there is a nexus in the Constitution already, and the pregnant pigs unfortunately did not enjoy that.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Is there further questions on 67?

Commissioner Smith.

2.2

COMMISSIONER SMITH: We heard discussion about the Bert Harris claim at some of the meetings. Could you -- could you hit that point of how much it would cost the State of the claims under the Bert Harris Act?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes, thank you,

Mr. Chair. I thought that was a troubling
allegation myself. It was lobbied out at a

committee meeting without any prior notice. So
I certainly didn't have enough time to respond,
but I promised, I believe it was Commissioner

Jordan, that I would, that I would look into
that.

We have had a legal analysis. I have been given a legal analysis that was conducted by Broad & Cassel, they handled the pregnant pig taking issue that resulted under Bert Harris FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

and you -- you can read the -- you can read the ten pages for yourself. I have it here, and

probably understand it better than I do.

2.2

But I got the meat of it, and essentially it is that the Bert Harris claims are for real property, not licensed activity that is regulated and not guaranteed by the State.

It is not a right. And so the fact that this amendment is prohibiting the exchange of money based on the outcome of a dog race at a parimutuel facility does not give rise to a parimutuel claim. It does not prohibit the use of the track owner's property for a variety of other uses associated with that facility, or to have it re-purposed for other reasons.

And so that is the finding of the lawyers that looked at this out of concern expressed in that last committee, I believe it was.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Stemberger is recognized.

COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Commissioner Lee, in the hearings we were told that approximately 300 greyhounds were adopted every year, and I actually did a pretty deep dive into this issue because it was FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

fascinating to me and it was Rubik's Cube and I was just trying to find the one with all of the sides and it was very hard to do.

But I was very interested to hear General Bondi, is there a plan and, if so, what is the specifics of the plans so that these animals are not destroyed?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. And what a great question that is.

And, you know, I have thought, we have an amendment here to, that we will deal with in a minute that will, I think ameliorate the problem a little bit.

But you know, I thought, gee, what a shame it is that, you know, the message we are getting back from the dog owners is that you can't stop this inhumanity or these animals will be put to death. And one of the reasons why we originally started with a phase-out is because I thought the math on the racing lifespan of a greyhound would be -- would result in fewer adoptions having to occur at once. And if it was phased out over three years, and because people representing the

tracks told me that if you stopped it too abruptly they were afraid that the owners would kill the animals.

Well, since all of that has occurred in response to your question, as horrific as that sounded coming from the people who supposedly know most about this industry, we have had sort of an outcry or an outreach from the industry, the adoption industry around the country, offering that in the event that Florida were to succeed in banning dog racing, which under this upcoming amendment would occur on June 30th of 2020, they intend to ramp up for the capacity to receive all of these dogs.

And because you would be able to stop
breeding animals upon the passage of this
amendment because they start racing at
approximately one and a half years of age,
which is about the time this ban would kick in,
this would be the best way to meet the capacity
demands, if you will, associated with a ban of
this racing activity. So we have tried to
think about that and the industry has responded
to our concerns as well.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Stemberger.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Commissioner

Smith asked a good question about casinos, I

believe, and the Seminole Tribes. Will this

create any further incentive for the

parimutuels to come back to the Legislature

with their hands open now saying we need more

revenue, we need slots, we need more tables?

Assuming the great amendment that is on the

ballot doesn't pass, will that happen, and play

out that dynamic for us?

COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.

Commissioner Stemberger. I had -- my second two years in the Senate back in the late '90s, I had the privilege of chairing the -- or misfortune, depending on how you look at it, the Regulated Industries Committee in the Senate which handled all of the parimutuel DBPR issues, as well as telecommunications and energy policy and all of that at the time, and every year, regardless of what happens, this industry comes back to the Legislature.

It is -- in about week seven a parimutuel
Bill is put up in the Legislature and it is
like throwing a side of beef into a shark tank.
Not only do they all want expanded gaming
FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

opportunities, but they want to deny those same opportunities for their competition. And if one gets them and the other doesn't get them which is usually what kind of happens given the leanings, political leanings of the Legislature the whole Bill goes in the ditch. Which is the problem we have every year with this Compact

getting reauthorized.

2.2

So I can't tell you that this industry after 22 years off and on of being in the Legislature, isn't going to come back every year and ask for something.

It used to be penny ante stuff, reducing their tax rates and things, and now it has become more serious and I think the effects of the Amendment One will be an important consideration for them.

I will just tell you that there is nothing
I believe in this proposal here that would
create those incentives because most of these
tracks aren't making enough money on this
racing as an industry to incent them to
continuing to do it where the populations are
great.

They are being forced to race because it FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

is the anchor for their parimutuel permit, and failure to run a full racing schedule denies them the opportunity to do the things they actually make money on.

CHAIRMAN: Further questions? Any further questions?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you. Commissioner Lee. Has anyone done any detail on the revenue that the families and the people that work in the industry are going to lose so that they can feed their families?

As a dog lover who spends literally tens of thousands of dollars on dogs and veterinary, I am very sensitive to dogs, but I am also very sensitive to those people whose livelihood depends on an industry which admittedly is dying.

I believe that free markets should put people out of business like they did with Polaroid and Kodak and Xerox and so forth.

I just have a hard time with government being that -- that pushes them over the cliff. So is there any data on how many dollars these folks are going to lose that go into their homes?

CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

So the first thing I would say, if there was not blatant and well-documented inhumanity going on in this industry, we probably wouldn't be having this discussion, Commissioner, because I don't think there would be a basis upon which to impair anyone's economic opportunity, but for that going on and having been documented.

There are basically two issues here: One is what is going to be the impacts to those people that are currently in this industry.

There is actually another issue you didn't ask about so I won't answer it, maybe I will do that in closing, but it is what is the broader economic impact on the State as a result of this?

As it relates to those individuals that are making a living on this, clearly there are going to be some winners and losers. One of the reasons we have moved the date out into June 20 -- June 30th, 2020, is to give them the time to try to find another way to make ends meet, if you will. And beyond that, you know, FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

what I am forced to do is make it a value choice between the inhumanity and some of the impairment that might occur economically to those individuals that are making their living off of this, and I certainly appreciate your sensitivity. I share it.

CHAIRMAN: Chairman Beruff.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: A follow up question. I did call the Secretary of DPBR, something like that, that one, to ask the question of the financial impacts on the State because I was -- I took, you know, I listened to the audience as they spoke and how it costs us more to regulate than what it generates and so forth.

And I was -- his answer was, we take in more revenue than it costs to regulate that industry. So it is a little bit different than the -- what you have said. So I am -- and I had this discussion, what is today, today is Tuesday, I must have had it Thursday of last week or Friday, so it is very fresh in my mind.

So there is a disconnect between what you say and what I saw, so what how, what do you attribute that to?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

COMMISSIONER LEE: Well, I wouldn't know.

I wasn't on the call, Commissioner, but I would love to debate the Secretary and I would love to see his books, because -- and I would love to ask him how is it that his statements to you are in conflict with a letter that was written by his predecessor to Senator Young when we were debating this issue in the Legislature, not this precise issue, but an issue relating to the decoupling issue in connection with the parimutuel.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you. Further questions? Seeing no further questions, there are two amendments, sorry, Commissioner Joyner.

COMMISSIONER JOYNER: Just one question. When was it that you the got that letter as opposed to where we are now, the letter from the person in DPBR that gave --

COMMISSIONER LEE: I believe --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Mr. Chair, I believe it was 2016, that that letter came in to Senator Young. We received a copy of it because we were working on this and people who had been working on that issue shared it with us.

I corroborated it with Senator Young, and one of the disparities I think in the numbers is that some of the numbers that are used by the Department, and I wish I had that in front of me, but they spend a couple of million dollars a year doing outside testing at the University of Florida for some of these, and I don't think they were including that testing obligation in their math. And so while I can't reconcile what I don't know.

I know that there has been disparities in some of the numbers that have been reported, and some of the numbers that we know to be true from our work in the budget.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Joyner.

COMMISSIONER JOYNER: So there is a possibility that with a two-year difference in time that the numbers could have changed since your letter was the six -- I am just trying to figure out the reason why the disparity in numbers from the present Secretary and whoever it was that gave you that, that wrote that letter.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

I -- I -- I understand that it is relevant when you have two separate representations. I would begin by pointing out that whether they are losing a couple of million dollars a year or they are making a little bit of money, which, you know, I would stand on my reputation that they are losing their shirt when you add in all of the costs associated.

2.2

These agencies don't know how to do the kind of cost accounting that Chairman Beruff and I do in the home building business where you have to apportion portions of your staff and agency and what-have-you to the activities to regulate these people. They look at hard dollars and it is just not a fair comparison.

I would just say to you that if anything it is going downhill, it is not going uphill. So wherever we were in '16 I would bet my bottom dollar that we are worse off today.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Are we still on questions or are we in debate? We are on questions. Further questions on Proposal 67?

I am going to close questions and go into debate on -- oh, there is amendments. I forgot that. It is getting a little late. We are a FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

little tired.

Commissioner Lee, would you please start with, is there an amended amendment to your amendment? So substitutes that we should go just to 271928, that is your substitute amendment? You need to explain 323538 and then I think we go to your amendment to your amendment.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Amendment 323538 attempts to accomplish the same thing that amendment 271927 accomplishes.

It just wasn't until we filed the amendment on Wednesday and it was seen by people in the industry that we realized that Chapter 550 is a lot more complicated than we thought and we needed to do a substitute amendment to fix some Style and Drafting issues.

Essentially it moved the effective date from 2019 in December to June 30th in 2020, and it had the goal of neither expanding nor impairing any other permit activities currently authorized or that might be authorized in the future at these facilities, particularly simulcasting and operating from a leased license.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

I have

It would give -- it would ensure this the 1 2 Legislature had the ability moving forward to 3 authorize or de-authorize any activity that 4 occurs at that facility and ensures that we 5 were not expanding gambling inadvertently by 6 the way the amendment was worded to allow 7 dormant permits to all of a sudden be 8 authorized because they weren't running a full 9 live scheduled race. 10 So the difference between the underlying 11 amendment and the substitute amendment is 12 really drafting changes made to effectuate what 13 I just described, which was not properly done 14 in the first amendment. CHAIRMAN BERUFF: You said 271927. 15 16 928. I am just --17 COMMISSIONER LEE: I am sorry. CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Is that a scrivener's 18 19 error? 20 COMMISSIONER LEE: No, it is a lack of 21 glasses. 22 CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Oh, good, that is why I never take mine off. 23 24

So it is 928 that we are discussing. COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes, sir. FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

25

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Great. So now I think we will take questions on 323538. Actually, we will go to the amendment and take questions on 271928. Are there any questions, which is the substitute amendment 271928. Questions? I see no questions on 271 --

Commissioner Jordon does have a question.

COMMISSIONER JORDAN: Just one quick question.

COMMISSIONER LEE: I was about to say, hallelujah.

COMMISSIONER JORDAN: Sorry. Originally I think I saw something that would also ban simulcast broadcasting from other states that still allow greyhound racing. Is that still your intention to ban simulcast if such a thing exist?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Commissioner, it was never my intent. I don't want to make to make too much comment about how I feel about parimutuel wagering or gambling. It is not all that relevant here, but it wouldn't hurt my feelings if that were to have happened.

But it is already difficult enough to FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

protect these animals, and the bigger fight, the bigger fight I pick the harder it is to get my friends here and the voters to support it. And so what we endeavored to do in this amendment here, Commissioner, was make sure that whatever activities the parimutuels were able to engage in today, they would not be impaired by a ban of racing activity in the

future.

2.2

And one of those activities is simulcasting, at they simulcast dog and horse racing, and there aren't many dog tracks in America, as I said earlier, that aren't in Florida. So when this happens, if this happens, that portion is going to mostly go away, but they would still be able on simulcast other things they are currently able to simulcast.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Okay, so now we are going to debate. The questions are over, we are going to debate. Is there a question on debate?

Okay, so we are closing questions on the -- on the substitute amendment, okay. I know, it is getting late. I forget what I am FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

supposed to say. So -- so we now have debate on the amendment, the substitute amendment.

Debate on the substitute amendment.

Not seeing any debate on the substitute amendment, Commissioner Lee, would you like to close on your substitute amendment?

COMMISSIONER LEE: Mr. Chair, only to say regardless of how you feel about the underlying proposal, which I hope you would support, I don't think you would want it to go into the ballot without this amendment on it because it fixes some drafting errors that we couldn't remedy that until this began to be reviewed by people who work in this industry and will be impacted should it pass, and we now know that we have it precisely correct.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I believe the amendment we voted on by voice vote. Everyone in favor of the substitute amendment 271928 please signify by saying yea.

(Chorus of yea's.)

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: All those against signify by saying nay. The amendment carries. Now, if we go back to Proposal 67 on debate. Who would like to debate 67?

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

Commissioner Thurlow-Lippisch on debate.

COMMISSIONER THURLOW-LIPPISCH: Thank you. I waited the whole time because I thought this was more a debate or that maybe someone would debate me on rather than a question. And I just want to say that I-- I -- I emphasize and recognize why so many people have said some of the things that they have said over the past 30 minutes, because the greyhound situation like we are exhausted right now, the greyhound situation was very exhausting.

I attended every hearing and in the beginning and in the end, and it was amazing how much greyhound racing was -- seemed to be the main subject that was there the whole time.

I would come home and my husband would say to me, so what was the main thing that people were talking about. Every single time I said, and it was greyhound racing, it was, and I found myself in the beginning being, you know, like I learned more and more as I went through, and I started to kind of wonder about some of the things I was thinking in the beginning.

But the one thing that has not changed, and this is something I think we all need to be FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

very cognizant of, is that we cannot ignore the public, and if we ignore the public we are not doing our job, and I believe that the majority of those people, whether they were associated with some entity or not, the majority the people that I spoke to were people, whether they were people who were for it or were for against it, and I think, you know, many of them said please just let this be on the ballot.

I think it deserves to be on the ballot.

They -- they came, they spent time, they drove there, they went there, and in the future when people watch those movies if they can still do that on television like the Florida Channel what will the technology be in 20 years, who knows, but it -- if we ignore this, if we ignore this I think it will reflect negatively on us.

And so I hope that you will support it, and Senator Lee and Senator Gaetz and Commissioner Heuchan, thank you very much for bringing this controversial issue forward.

And yes, sometimes things cannot be achieved in the State Legislature, and maybe if they really get sick of that they will start FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

addressing certain issues. And I have never been in the Legislature and I honor all of those who are, but I believe it when Tom Lee says and when Senator Gaetz says that this has come before them again and again and again.

2.2

It is a calling from the people that the people need to have something to say about this. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Heuchan is recognized.

COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I rise today to offer my -- my voice

for support of this proposal. I -- I want to

just kind of offer this in perspective on

different things I have heard, too. This is

definitely not a pregnant pigs.

I also want to say that despite my personal feeling about whether that particular proposal should be in our Constitution or not, allegations about, you know, whether or not our original Constitution is clean or should be clean, you know, all of these things, it is interesting, this last proposal for the day.

This all comes back to where we started FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

with Commissioner Gainey, right? It all goes back to Sheriff Gainey. But I would have actually opposed any effort to take pregnant pigs out of the Constitution because it got there in a way that was outlined in our Constitution. That is how it got there, and the people of Florida voted for it.

2.2

Now, Senator Lee is also very much correct in saying that this is -- would be completely unnecessary if the dogs were being cared for in a way that the rest of us, the rest of humanity felt like that they ought to be.

To Commissioner Schifino, I couldn't agree more with him on this. It is almost like an alternate universe. It is like I think the sky is blue, you think it is red, right, but you would have to -- you would have to believe that these hundreds and hundreds and hundreds, maybe even thousands over this 15 or so public hearings that we had all conspired together in different regions of the state. Did some of them know each other, sure they did, but most of them didn't.

They all had different accounts of things that they saw. So you would have to believe FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

that if this was all a ruse. There was commentary about, you know, what the Legislature did, didn't do, you know. Look, this could be viewed, this issue could be viewed as a failure on the part of the Legislature, but I don't, I don't view it that way.

The Legislature for the most part does the best that it can do with the resources that it has. These dogs are precisely caught in the middle of this extremely massive tug of war, year in and year out. And I, as my friends out on the fourth floor have routinely told you, I actually belong to that class of people.

Let me tell you what happens. And this isn't necessarily a judgment on them or me, because, you know, you do your best for the people that you work for. But there is a mentality that if I can't win, then I am going to make sure you can't win, and that is where these dogs get caught.

Every year that I remember, I don't know, I don't have the same history that some of the others have, but they do, they get caught, and it is not -- it is not just in how they are FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

kept.

It is not just in what happens in the transfer. It is not just what happens at the farms. And Commissioner Schifino and I, in many of our candid conversations about things that we were struggling with, and I will tell you this, I struggle greatly listening to those families and the hardship that they will endure if this goes on the ballot and it passes.

But I would submit to you this, that if we all woke up tomorrow and decided we were going to put a system in place that human beings were going to be betting for money on dogs that are running around the track at 40 miles per hour, I don't think that would get a single vote, not one, because we know when that happens it is inherently dangerous.

Just set aside the many things that

Senator Lee said about this. It is inherently
dangerous to go that fast in a car, on a
motorcycle or if you could run that fast
yourself. I would just tell you folks that
these -- we were brought here to make tough
decisions, and this is one of them.

It is certainly one of them, but the value FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

equation for me is it breaks my heart to hear the stories, and you guys know the ones I am talking about, these girls, these young people, that are in these family businesses, and it is hard, there is no doubt, and is it their fault, no, it is not their fault. But I am sorry, I can't -- I can't not cast my vote for this because if we don't do something it is going to keep happening, 8,000 dogs a year.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2.2

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Gaetz.

COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Commissioner Lee, who is the main sponsor of this measure and I am proud along with Commissioner Heuchan to be co-sponsors.

Commissioner Lee has, I think very specifically laid out the nexus to the Constitution, why this is a constitutional issue. It is not just the Legislature has had an extraordinarily difficult time for lots of reasons we have discussed dealing with it.

It is because there is a constitutional nexus found in three different sections of the Constitution. So to do this, if you want to do this you have to amend the Constitution. Maybe FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

_

it was wrong to put the references in the Constitution in the first place, but that's the problem. You put something in the Constitution and then you open a topic and if you have to change that topic later, then you got to change it in the Constitution.

But Chair Beruff and Commissioner Schifino have asked the two salient questions that I think those of us who favor this proposal have a burden to answer.

First, our Chairman, Chair Beruff, has said in effect why are we having the government interfere here in what ought to be basically a free market deterioration of an industry that apparently doesn't have a free market reason to exist. Why not just let it die?

The answer is that the only reason that dog racing exists in the state of Florida, the only reason is because it is necessary constitutionally for dog racing to exist in order for the parimutuels to exist. If they didn't have to do dog racing they wouldn't do dog racing.

And so it is not only a government-subsidized industry, but it is an FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

industry that exists solely because of a government mandate that it exist, and that is about as unfree market as you could possibly

2.2

be.

Chair Beruff has asked exactly the right question. I think that is an answer.

Commissioner Schifino has asked the question that I am sure has occurred to many of us as we have heard conflicting testimony at every single hearing. Who to believe, who to believe. Is dog racing cruel or is it compassionate? Are the dogs treated horribly or are they happy?

Well, the fact is that we don't really have to say that one side is a pack of liars and the other side is a pack of saints. The fact is, Commissioner Schifino, that to an extent they are both right.

I have -- I have visited dog tracks and I have seen in the circumstance, if you believe that racing is a good idea, I have seen in the circumstance good treatment by people who -- who like their dogs and who view their dogs as part of their economic base, and therefore, they certainly don't want to hurt their own FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

1 economic base.

2.2

And so I have seen good treatment. And I think much of the testimony that we heard was testimony from people who didn't lie to us when they said their dogs wagged their tails and they love their dogs and they have had dogs in their family for four generations and it is part of their economic base, and it is part of who they are, I think that is all true.

It is also true that we have had an extraordinary amount of cruelty and an extraordinary number of cases where cruel and inhumane treatment and the very nature of the industry augers against even those who wish to be kind.

In the Legislature we have had to fight year after year against the industry when they have resisted any kind of even injury reporting. Bills that have said well let's at least -- let's at least report the injuries. Let's have a registry so that we know how many dogs have been hurt and what has happened to them.

Sadly, notwithstanding some very good people who are part of this industry, the FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

industry, itself, has fought tooth and nail against injury reporting. When we have had Bills in the Legislature against doping, and you heard the stories about how some of these dogs are infused with cocaine and some pretty sad set of stories, we have had legislation presented, well, let's prevent it, let's prohibit the doping at least.

Notwithstanding some very good and kind people and good business people who are part of the industry, the industry as a whole has fought against any kind of anti-doping legislation. So what we have to say is that there are some good people in this industry who told the truth about the fact that they love their dogs and they depend on their dogs for their living.

But you also have a preponderance of evidence that this is an industry which inherently resists injury reporting, resists anti-doping, resists any kind of reform.

You have all of the reports from DPBR, and I will tell you, I was -- I was very interested in what the Secretary of DPBR told our Chair, and I think because we have members, sitting FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

members of the Legislature here, I can't wait until DPBR brings its budgets next year and asks for money.

I would hate to be in that seat when Senator Lee and Representative Nunez and others begin to ask them questions about why they need all this money they say they lose, but here is the real thing.

If you don't know exactly who to believe, Commissioner Schifino, the Chief Law Enforcement Officer of the State of Florida is sitting right there, and she has testified on this floor in the microphone in public that this is an industry that is cruel and inhumane and she has tried to prosecute them, but there are barriers, inherent barriers in the way that it operates to being able to prosecute the abuses that she has seen and that she knows exist. So at the end of the day that is a pretty good source.

I thank Senator Lee for championing this effort. I am pleased to join with Commissioner Heuchan and Senator Lee in sponsoring it and I hope you will vote yes.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Further debate on 67?

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

Commissioner Smith is recognized.

COMMISSIONER SMITH: Thank you, thank you, Mr. Chair. There is a couple of things that have been said that is interesting. I brought up the point that, you know, this is government stepping in and ending private industry, because if you wanted to not have government step in and just dictate the marketplace, this amendment could say you are decoupling.

This amendment, you could say, hey, okay, you don't have to race to keep your license and run your casino. But no, this is an ending of it, done, dead, stop. So there was a less stringent way you can do this than this, than having government step in and end an industry, and there is just a lot of questions.

And it is funny when Commissioner

Stemberger mentioned, Rubik's Cube because it
took me back to something I remember that Bill
Galvano, the next President of the Senate, the
person that has Chaired the gambling committees
for years.

He said that this industry is very inter-connected, it is like a Rubik's Cube, you can't term one without impacting every aspect FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

of an industry.

So when we talk about the greyhound racing and if we put on the ballot and the voters go out and say I don't want greyhound racing, that is fine.

How does it affect the Seminole Compact?

How does it affect every other industry? This is something, that is why the Legislature deals with this, and the Legislature every year has tried to tackle it and sometimes they have done — they passed some gambling Bills, they just haven't done this part of it, but they have done some gambling Bills every year. But they haven't done this part of it and maybe there is a reason.

Regarding the Bert Harris Act, I was looking at that, and then today I am glad I heard that there is a law firm that did a ten-page report that none of us have seen that I am glad that Commissioner Lee has it right now to tell us what it says, and tell us the conclusion right now, and that is great.

But I would have wanted to know more about that and what would be the cost to the State if this was to pass. And I love my friend FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

know, if he came up with this idea, you know, it wouldn't even be a big -- now, imagine if I told you, you know what, on Friday nights we are going to have 300-pound kids run up and down and run into each other hard as they can, and we are all going to stand up there and cheer while these 315-pound kids hit each other over and over and over again, and that is a great idea.

Commissioner Heuchan right here because he

tells a great story and he tells about, you

He would say you are crazy. You go to any high school in Florida on a Friday night, you see that. You can make any -- I mean, that was a great story. But I, you know, that was great, and I understand that. But it comes being down to why we are here. This is an -- and I have served here just like many people in this chamber and the Legislature deals with a lot of things.

The Legislature deals with transportation, to education, to every other part of running this state, and a lot of times the Legislature does not come to conclusions that I would prefer.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

A lot of times in transportation they
don't come up with conclusions that I would
prefer. God knows in education they don't come
up with conclusions I would prefer. But you
don't go to the Constitution when you can't get
the elected officials in Tallahassee to do
something. But if that is where you want to
go, I got a great proposal for you tomorrow
that the Legislature wouldn't tackle.

We will be discussing that tomorrow. If you want to say the Legislature refused to act, if we want to say things, just wait until tomorrow. A lot of you are going to say that is not the place, and I say that today, this is not the place to put this in the Constitution. Let the Legislature deal with gaming as the Legislature has done for years and come up with stuff.

Let's not put -- if we got, if you got questions now, if you are sitting there with all of these questions now and you have been studying this stuff, what is the voter supposed to do. If you are sitting there and you are like well, I don't who to believe, I don't know this, I don't know that, I don't know this, I for the RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

2.2

don't know that. God, what is the voter going to do? Let's let -- if there is problems in industry, let's let the Legislature handle it, let's get testimony, let's get people in here, get them under oath. Let's come up with rules to give prosecutors a better chance to prosecute these people. Let's given law enforcement the tools to go and arrest people who are cruel to animals; let's do those things, but let's let the Legislature do it and let's get on to business of fixing our Constitution with those big items, not legislative fights that we bring to this commission. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Carlton.

COMMISSIONER CARLTON: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So I think Senator Smith brought up some points that are important to address. The State of Florida has been in a relationship with these greyhounds since 1996, when the coupling Bill was passed by the Legislature. That is 21 years ago, it is a long time. Twenty-one years is a long time.

Senator Smith, Commissioner Smith brought up the point that well, can't we just let the FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

natural decline of the industry close a private
business and I am going to pick up on some
points that Senator Gaetz already emphasized,
but I am going to emphasize them and step it up

2.2

a bit.

You know, greyhounds is not a private ongoing concern in this state. It is not a private business like mine or your homebuilding business or your educational business or your law firm. It is not only not a private business, it is not even a government-subsidized business.

It is a government-mandated business. The State of Florida mandates that these greyhounds be run. Now, think about that for a minute. We are not just saying the State of Florida takes a blind eye, the State of Florida doesn't do the investigation, the State of Florida isn't sure how they feel about it.

The State of Florida is the reason the greyhounds are running. There is no question about that. So the State of Florida is in part responsible, if not wholly responsible for what is going on on the greyhound tracks and with the greyhounds. Twenty years, in 1996 the FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

legislative session coupled the two together and created the relationship between the greyhounds and the State of Florida. And for 21 years this body has attempted to uncouple itself in a way, shape or form, and has never

been successful.

2.2

So it is not a private business and we can't let the private business just, just go down the drain as it pleases, because we actually mandate it. So in essence what we have done in the state of Florida is actually, is actually worse than what one might think.

We not only have mandated this business, which quite frankly I think is wrong for the government to mandate a business by the way, that is just -- I am in private business. I mean, I really don't think the government should be in the business of mandating businesses. That is just wrong.

But we are since 1996, and -- and the words, I think Commissioner Lee or maybe

Senator Gaetz said this. So in essence the dogs have been held hostage because of that relationship. And the dogs have been in this huge tug of war because the State of Florida

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

mandates that these dogs be run. And so you are right, Commissioner Smith, it is a Rubik's Cube because you have got this entity and that entity, and if we do this and this is going to happen, and let me tell you what I have heard it for 21 years, and it is time to end the discussion right here, right now.

It is time for the discussion to be over and to solve a Rubik's Cube, Commissioner

Smith, you have to make the first move. Well, if we are going to sit around here and wait for 20 more years for something to happen, the Rubik's Cube is going to look like I am trying to solve it because I can't solve those things, I am really bad at it.

But you know what, to solve it you have to make a first move, and that is what I think we are doing here. We are taking the first move to solve this problem that has been going on in this state for a very long time, and it is time for it to be over.

So with that, I would encourage you to support the proposal by Commissioner Lee and thank you to Commissioner Heuchan and Commissioner Gaetz for bringing it forward, and FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I ask you for your support of the proposal.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Stemberger is recognized.

COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman, briefly. I think I am the only

Commissioner that took the dog owners up on

visiting a track, without notice on a Saturday

I went to -- I called the Seminole place up,

told them who I was, said I am going to come by

and take a visit.

It was a great learning experience. I really appreciate what Commissioner Schifino said, because I think that there was people misrepresenting the truth on both sides. The overall thing that I came away with is these are beautiful animals that I fell in love with. I felt broken bones. These are peaceful, graceful animals.

They are fast, and these people that care for them really do care for them. I think they have been maligned inappropriately, but there is obviously bad stuff that happens and that was just clear from looking there.

If you are going to race dogs that fast FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

you are just going to have injuries. And so I was struck by the type of people that were in there gambling, all seniors, disabled people, minorities without a whole lot of money.

2.2

2.3

That was interesting to me as well. I just talked to a fellow Commissioner, whose name will go unnamed, but she said to me, I want to vote for this but I can't, and that is exactly the way I feel. I really don't see this as a constitutional issue.

I hope it does pass, but I can't be a part of passing it just as a matter of principle.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Bondi and then Commissioner Coxe.

COMMISSIONER BONDI: Thank you.

Commissioner Timmann, if you want somebody to take the heat for this Bill, I will

100 percent. I have no problem. This is about the safety of animals. These are dogs. This has gone on for years and years and years, and there is a reason why 40 states have banned greyhound racing.

And frankly, if this is how people are making their income and they are getting rich FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

off of this, shame on them. Let them find a new line of work. This is about saving these dogs and I think we need to put them out of business. Thank you.

2.

2.2

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Coxe is recognized.

COMMISSIONER COXE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Like Commissioner Jordan, I have two rescue

dogs at home, and if I ask them to move across

the room I would probably be accused of abuse,

but I want to say this. I -- I don't

understand something.

There is a theme we have dealt with since yesterday morning and that is that we exist as a Constitutional Revision Commission to solve the social ills of Florida that the Florida Legislature somehow cannot deal with. And this is a problem that has been going on for years, and I accept the abuse, I don't quarrel with that part. But if this has been going on for years where has this Legislature been?

This Legislature can uncouple, uncouple the gambling rooms from the racing, put a stop to that relationship and shut down the greyhound racing. And yet year after year FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

apparently they are not capable of doing that, and I pushed the red button because I didn't think Commissioner Gainey's proposal, as laudable as it was, belonged in the Constitution.

2.2

I pushed the red button on the victims because I didn't think -- I thought the Constitution and the Legislature, and I am going to push the red button again, but this is wrong for the Legislature to sit back and dump it on us to solve this problem. That is inexcusable.

For the people of the state of Florida that march in front of us at every public hearing and show these horrible abuses to animals, and the Legislature meets every year here and they haven't done anything, that is not our job.

I disagree with Commissioner Gaetz. There is nothing I have heard that says this can only be solved in the Constitution. This is a legislative problem and they need to do something about it.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: The Chair recognizes

Commissioner Cerio for a very brief motion.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

COMMISSIONER CERIO: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I move that the rules be waived and the

Commission extend this meeting until 8:10.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Cerio. All those in favor signify by saying yea.

(Chorus of yeas.)

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Signify, those opposed signify by saying nay. Okay, the yea's have it. We will endeavor to close debate.

Is there any further debate on Proposal

Number 67 so we can give Commissioner Lee
enough time to close? I do not see anymore for
debate.

Commissioner Lee, please close on your Proposal 67.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

First I would like to thank President Gaetz and Commissioner Heuchan for their help on this proposal, and all of you who have supported this in debate, the Attorney General,

Commissioner Thurlow-Lippisch and others. I am very grateful for your support.

We have established the nexus to this issue in the Constitution. I, you know, we all FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

2.2

have our guiding principles, I certainly respect those. I think it is dangerous to say that we are not here to do anything that the Legislature could do. I don't know that we individually necessarily violate those principles proposal by proposal. We have already done a lot of stuff here the Legislature could do, and we have actually done some things that the Legislature has already done.

So the whole reason we have citizens' initiatives is because the people ought to have an opportunity to do what the Legislature won't do, and that is the reason this Commission exists so that someone doesn't have to go out and gather a half a million signatures from different congressional districts and go on the ballot and spend the kind of money. \$5 million is the rough estimate it takes today to get an issue like this on the ballot.

And when you are, you know, I don't know what it is like to be a lawyer, a criminal defense lawyer, to have a client that you know probably doesn't have much of a leg to stand on, but I have certainly been in the FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

legislative process where -- where I felt like I was grasping at straws, and, you know, I certainly respect anybody that has got to dig deep for some arguments.

2.2

And Commissioner Smith, you are as good as anybody I have ever met at coming up with the weak points that can be attacked in something, but there was a class size amendment put on the ballot and it passed because a Senator couldn't get the Legislature to do it. It was Senator Carrie Meek and he sat right over here in this corner when I sat over in that one, and he put that on the ballot and it passed.

There is a felon's-right-to-vote Bill that you supported, Commissioner, going to the ballot and it is on the ballot now, and it is something that could have been done other ways. Those people that you referred to as you told the story from Commissioner Heuchan, those 300-pound people, they had a choice, they got a chance to make decisions for themselves.

These dogs don't have that choice. I think the way I would like to leave this with you is that, is to bunk this notion of economic impact. I spent a lot of time working on FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

issues relating to economic impact in the Legislature, particularly related to sports and recreation and sports and recreation facilities. The program that funds sports and recreation facilities in the State of Florida gets a 31 cent return on investments. We are spending tens of millions of dollars a year to fund professional sports teams.

2.

2.2

And the reason it gets a very low and inappropriate level of return for state government is because the economists have identified that most of the money spent at these facilities is discretionary money. It is money left over. It is sometimes money people don't even have to spend discretionary, but they spend the recreational money, the money they have to recreate with.

And one recreational activity like this cannibalizes on other recreational activities, and so all you do is move the money around.

And if this goes away these folks might start playing golf or buy a boat or something, but there will be other economic impact, and the studies are replete with data on that, that this doesn't create real economic activity.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

It steals from other entertainment opportunities. A lot of jobs come and go in our society, and there is some we choose not to have, and as I was thinking through all of this, I thought of some things and some of these were kind of provocative and they may hit close to a nerve, and I am sorry for that, I don't mean to telegraph a view of them, I just need it to be provocative. But when we banned offshore drilling earlier today we cost jobs, we did.

You can't do that anymore and I support it, Commissioner. If we ban assault weapons we are going to cost some jobs, but that never hurt anybody's feelings. At least not some of the people in this room. Jobs were lost when we raised the drinking age.

When I was in high school you could drink at 18. Now it is 21 and we definitely lost jobs when you raised the drinking age. Nobody is belly aching about that today. When the Legislature shut down Internet cafes, we lost jobs, but it was the right thing to do.

Ask the cab industry, ask the cab industry what it is like or the travel agencies that FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

used to be on every block 15 or 20 years ago, or those who used to build phone booths, how tough it is when technology comes in or times change and jobs are lost. Jobs come and go. We could legalize drugs or some drug or prostitution or all kinds of things.

We could create all kinds of jobs, but that is not who we are and you all know it. That is not what we stand for, and if our jobs program in the state of Florida is going to continue to be based on the inhumane treatment of animals, then shame on us. Why not just add dog fighting and bull fighting and cock fighting to it while we are at it, because that sure would create some jobs.

We don't want the economy built on inhumanity. That is not who we are. The industry has brought this on themselves. They fought this tooth and nail. We could have regulated this and done it right and this industry could have continued on, but it wouldn't participate in an effort to try to protect these animals, year in and year out.

And so we could turn a blind eye to this and walk away from it, but we have heard from FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

the people, Commissioner Thurlow-Lippisch, you are right, we heard from the people loud and clear. The people who had no economic interest in this told us time and time and time and time again to please do something about this, and the only question left for us to ask is one I have already answered for myself, and that is:

Is this who we are in 2018, or do we want to get rid of this inhumane activity in our state?

And frankly, I would just say if you are not already compelled to vote for it because of the inhumanity to animals, just think about the inhumanity to me. I have been dealing with this for months and you know all of the people that have called and talked and tweeted and after another and, and one way or the other I am really glad to get this behind us and I want to thank you all for your support.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner

Lee. We will now open the board for a vote.

Commissioners, please vote. Commissioners,

please vote. Please close the board and tally,

please. Thank you.

THE SECRETARY: Eighteen yea's, 14 nay's, FOR THE RECORD REPORTING, INC. 850.222.5491

Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN BERUFF: The motion is adopted as amended and committed to Style and Drafting. Thank you. I think -- we recognize Mr. Cerio for a motion to let us go home. This should be very brief. COMMISSIONER CERIO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I move that we stand in recess until 9:00 tomorrow morning. CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you. So moved. (Proceedings recessed.)

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	
4	
5	I, CLARA C. ROTRUCK, do hereby certify that I
6	was authorized to and did report the foregoing
7	proceedings, and that the transcript, pages 354 through
8	536, is a true and correct record of my stenographic
9	notes.
10	
11	Dated this 16th day of May, 2018, at
12	Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.
13	
14	
15	CLARA C. ROTRUCK
16	Court Reporter
17	Commission No.: FF 174037
18	Expiration date: November 13, 2018
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FOR THE RECORD REDORTING INC 850 222 5491